1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS CENTRAL DIVISION							
3	DYLAN BRANDT, et al.,							
4	Plaintiffs, v. No. 4:21CV00450 JM							
5								
6	November 28, 2022 Little Rock, Arkansas 8:57 AM							
7	LESLIE RUTLEDGE, et al.,							
8	Defendants.							
9	TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL - VOLUME 5 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES M. MOODY, JR., UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE							
10								
11	APPEARANCES:							
12								
13	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:							
14	MR. CHASE STRANGIO, Attorney at Law MS. LESLIE COOPER, Attorney at Law							
15	MR. JAMES D. ESSEKS, Attorney at Law American Civil Liberties Union							
16	125 Broad Street, Suite 1800 New York, New York 10004-2400							
17	MS. BREEAN WALAS, Attorney at Law							
18	Walas Law Firm, PLLC Post Office Box 4591							
19	Bozeman, Montana 59772							
20	MR. AVIV S. HALPERN, Attorney at Law							
21	MS. LAURA KABLER OSWELL, Attorney at Law Sullivan & Cromwell, LLP							
22	1870 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303							
23								
24	Appearances continuing							
25								

1						
2	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:					
3	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:					
4	MR. ARUN BODAPATI, Attorney at Law					
5	MS. LAUREN M. GOLDSMITH, Attorney at Law Sullivan & Cromwell, LLP					
6	125 Broad Street, Suite 2424 New York, NY 10004-2498					
7	MR. DANIEL J. RICHARDSON, Attorney at Law					
8	Sullivan & Cromwell LLP 1700 New York Avenue Washington DC 20006					
9	Washington, DC 20006					
10	MR. GARY L. SULLIVAN, Attorney at Law ACLU of Arkansas					
11	Legal Division 904 West 2nd Street, Suite One Little Rock, AR 72201					
12						
13	MS. SHARON ELIZABETH ECHOLS, Attorney at Law Gill Ragon Owen P.A.					
14	425 West Capitol Avenue Suite 3800 Little Rock, AR 72201-2413					
15	LILLIE ROCK, AR 72201-2413					
16	On Behalf of the Defendants:					
17	MR. DYLAN JACOBS, Attorney at Law MR. MICHAEL CANTRELL, Attorney at Law					
18	MS. AMANDA LAND, Attorney at Law MS. HANNAH TEMPLIN, Attorney at Law					
19	Arkansas Attorney General's Office 323 Center Street, Suite 200					
20	Little Rock, Arkansas 72201					
21						
22						
23	Proceedings reported by machine stenography. Transcript prepared utilizing computer-aided transcription.					
24	prepared utilizing computer-arded transcription.					
25						

1							
2	INDEX - VOLUME 5 (11/28/22)						
3	WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross		
4	STEPHEN LEVINE	781	885	955	959		
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1 (Proceedings continuing in open court at 8:57 AM.) 2 THE COURT: Are v'all ready? 3 MR. JACOBS: Your Honor, Defendants are ready to call, I guess, our next witness, not our first witness. 4 5 thing I wanted to check in on. So Dr. Regnerus is prepared to 6 testify remotely tomorrow, and I wanted to ask at what time the 7 Court could begin tomorrow with the hope that it could begin I 8 guess as early as we can make it happen. Because Dr. Regnerus 9 is testifying late in the evening from where he's located, so 10 just to avoid him having to run into testifying in the wee 11 hours of the early morning, if we could start as early as we 12 I recognize that -can. 13 THE COURT: I expect this will likely make everybody 14 cringe, but courthouse opens at 7:30. 15 MR. JACOBS: Could we -- I think he'd be available 16 to start at like 8:00. 17 THE COURT: That's fine. That would give everybody 18 time to get in the building and get settled and we could make 19 sure stuff is up. 20 MR. JACOBS: Okay. That's all the preliminary 21 matters that we have. 22 So with an asterisk, you've got my THE COURT: 23 entire week. What are your thoughts on how long you're going 24 to take? 25 MR. JACOBS: Our witnesses will be done Thursday and

1 we'll rest on Thursday. 2 THE COURT: I've got two sentencings, one at 1:00 3 and one at 2:00 on Wednesday. Those usually last 30 minutes, 4 so we're probably going to work a little later into lunch on 5 Wednesday. 6 MR. JACOBS: That won't be a problem, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And then it looks like I've got a lunch 8 hearing on the 1st. Okay. That's what is on my schedule other 9 than you guys. So are we ready to jump back in? MR. JACOBS: We're ready, Your Honor. Defendants 10 11 will call Dr. Stephen Levine. 12 THE COURT: Sir, if you could come on the far side of that silver rail. Good morning. 13 14 STEPHEN LEVINE, DEFENDANTS' WITNESS, DULY SWORN 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTRELL: 16 17 Q Good morning, Dr. Levine. 18 Good morning. Α 19 Can you state your name and spell it for the record. Q 20 Stephen, S-t-e-p-h-e-n, Barrett, B-a-r-r-e-t-t, Levine, 21 L-e-v-i-n-e. 22 Thank you. Dr. Levine, can you tell us what academic and 23 clinical positions that you currently hold? 24 I am clinical professor of psychiatry at Case Western Α

Reserve University. I'm a staff psychiatrist in a group

25

- 1 private practice outside the university at this point.
- 2 Q How long have you held those positions?
- 3 A Well, I started at Case Western Reserve in my residency
- 4 | in 1970. I became assistant professor in 1973 and full
- 5 professor in 1986, I think perhaps 1984, and I've been seeing
- 6 patients throughout that time.
- 7 Q Dr. Levine, I'll ask you to speak a little bit more
- 8 directly into that microphone if you will. Dr. Levine, do you
- 9 have a CV?
- 10 A I do.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 Your Honor, may I approach?
- THE COURT: Sure.
- 14 BY MR. CANTRELL:
- 15 Q Dr. Levine, if you will, I've handed you a binder. If
- 16 you would, turn to tab number one which should be Exhibit No.
- 17 | 1. Do you recognize this as your CV?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q Does your CV contain a listing of your publications and
- 20 other professional activities?
- 21 A It does.
- 22 Q Do you have any subsequent publications that are not
- 23 listed on your CV?
- 24 A I'm sure I do. On March 17th of this year, I published
- with two colleagues a paper called *Reconsidering Informed*

- Consent for Transgender Identified Children, Adolescents and
  Young Adults. That article had four invited commentaries, and
  subsequently my coauthors and I wrote two separate responses to
  those commentaries. So in addition, there's an article coming
  out in press on this topic of gender dysphoria. So probably
  there are four or five different additional papers that are not
  - Q Are you aware of any impact that your Reconsidering Informed Consent article has had?
- 10 A I'm sorry?

listed in this.

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 Q Are you aware of any impact that --
- A Oh, as of yesterday morning, that article was downloaded 45,870 times throughout the world which means that it's in the top 5 percent of articles read in all branches of science and it is the number one article most often downloaded from the individual journal that it was published in. So that article has had widespread dissemination across the world.
  - Q Dr. Levine, can you tell us about your history and experience treating patients with gender-related psychological conditions?
  - A In 1974, a colleague and I in Cleveland started the first gender identity clinic in Ohio and we've been seeing patients in one form or another in one iteration of that clinic or another since that time. We lost count in 1989, I think. We had 325 patients that we had seen over those years from 1974 to

1 1989, and we continued -- after I left the university, we
2 continued our gender identity clinic under a different name.
3 And so in the early years, almost all the patients were adults.
4 Some of them were older teenagers, 20 years old, 19 years old,
5 but most of them were adults. And in recent years, the vast
6 majority of patients that we have seen are young people,
7 adolescents primarily, and sometimes parents bring in younger

children to us.

- I should say that gender identity disorders are only one aspect of my clinical interest. Over the years, I've been interested in all things involved humans and sexuality. So I've been involved with sexual dysfunction, marital relationships as well as general psychiatric problems of adults and teenagers.
- Q Dr. Levine, have you previously supported your adult patients' efforts to obtain medical interventions for gender dysphoria?
  - A Yes. In those years, early years when almost all of our patients were adults, many of them requested and obtained hormones. And some of them requested and obtained various forms of surgery.
  - Q I'll ask a similar question for minors. In the past, have you supported patients' efforts to obtain medical interventions for gender dysphoria for minors?
- 25 A Well, I think of minors as in two categories, prepubertal

1 minors and postpubertal minors, and so we have certainly 2 evaluated and offered psychotherapy services to families of 3 children who were prepubertal. And we have occasionally done 4 that, I mean not -- I'm sorry, but for adolescents or 5 postpubertal children, we have on occasion given cross-sex 6 hormones. We have never administered in any of our clinics 7 puberty-blocking hormones. 8 Dr. Levine, have you formed conclusions concerning the 9 safety and efficacy of treatment of minors with gender-related 10 psychological conditions? 11 Well, many of the adolescents that we see have associated 12 psychiatric conditions. Our experience is pretty typical

psychiatric conditions. Our experience is pretty typical across the world. So we have attempted to both assess those conditions and consider with the families and the patients what can be done about those things. That's a psychological approach of prolonged assessment and psychotherapy and trying to address the underlying problems. On occasion, we have supported the idea that when a child is old enough, which in our state is usually 18, if they still would like to have hormones, I have personally written letters supporting that process.

- Q That's once they reach 18 do I understand?
- 23 A Yes. Or yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q So does that represent a shift in your view?
- THE COURT: Doctor, let me interrupt you for a

minute because you were talking about adolescents when you spoke, and then he -- Mr. Cantrell asked you about over 18.

That's not jiving in my head.

THE WITNESS: Well, I think of adolescents, Your Honor, as things that end in teen, and psychologically adolescents we generally think ends at 20, 21. So a 19 year old is an adolescent to me. The age of majority for medical consent in Ohio, I think, is 18.

THE COURT: Got it. So the problem I'm having with figuring out what your opinion is is your definition of adolescent is straddling the age of majority in Arkansas, so you're talking about post-pubescent as an adolescent that would range from, I don't know, what was your number? We'll just pick a number.

THE WITNESS: Well, the vast majority of the patients that we see that are what I call postpubertal are far less than 18.

THE COURT: I understand. That's what I'm trying to decide. You were mentioning adolescents in your opinion just now, and Mr. Cantrell said are we talking about anybody over 18. I'm having trouble deciding how far or the scope of your opinion, because you said adolescents, he's saying adults. There's a overlap between 18 and 19 for adolescent adults which I'm having to wrap my head around a little bit. Not that I don't agree with you, that there's some people who are over 18

that act like what I consider adolescents, but what I need to
focus in on is what is the scope of your opinion. Are we
talking about adults or are we talking about people who are

THE WITNESS: I will try to confine my use of the word "adolescent" to those 17 and younger.

THE COURT: Thank you. That'll help me.

## BY MR. CANTRELL:

under 18?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Dr. Levine, if I can just summarize what I think your testimony is, and please let me know if this is correct. That you do not currently recommend medical treatments for patients who are under the age of 18?
- 13 A Correct.
- Q Can you broadly outline the information that you have considered in coming to that conclusion?
  - A Well, I've been following the psychiatric or I've been following the literature of gender dysphoria since 1973, and so my opinions are based on what is published in the literature and a critical evaluation of what is published in the literature and, of course, my accumulated experience since 1973 and 1974 with these patients.
  - Q And in forming your conclusions, did you rely on sources of information that are widely used in your field?
- A Yes, the papers that I mentioned, the paper that has been downloaded across the world so often, it was a critical

evaluation of the two papers that are most widely used by the organizations that support the medicalization or affirmative care of adolescents. So I've certainly used the data that are the basis of affirmative care. Affirmative care being perhaps a euphemism or way we talk about medicalization of teenagers with hormones and surgery.

MR. JACOBS: And, Your Honor, if the plaintiffs will not be interested in voir diring the witness, I'll forego any further investigation into Dr. Levine's qualifications.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Doctor, I've got one more question. Mr. Cantrell said that you don't currently recommend medical treatments for patients who are under the age of 18. Medical treatments in my opinion would include any hormones or any medicine at all. Can you tell me what you mean by recommending any medical treatment for patients under 18?

THE WITNESS: I was speaking in a very narrow sense, Your Honor, of puberty-blocking hormones and cross-sex hormones. So if it's a biologic male, we generally, we do not now prescribe estrogens for that trans identified person. And if it's a biologic female, we don't generally prescribe testosterone for 17, 16 year olds, 15 year olds. But we give insulin. I mean, I don't give insulin, but --

THE COURT: I understand that you prescribe, but may not inject. But I'd understood your description of your clinical practice to include some medical treatment for people

1 under the age of 17. 2 THE WITNESS: And as a psychiatrist, I treat for 3 anxiety and depression and psychosis and substance abuse. 4 THE COURT: Right. That's why I was having again a little disconnect with the scope of the comment, but I'm on 5 6 track now. Thank you. 7 THE WITNESS: Could I just ask if I could have a 8 water? 9 THE COURT: Sure. 10 BY MR. CANTRELL: 11 So Dr. Levine, just to try to tie a bow on it and to 12 summarize what you've said that you do not support or recommend 13 puberty blockers or cross-sex hormones for patients under 18 14 with gender identity related conditions; is that correct? 15 That's what I said, yes. 16 Okay, thank you. Dr. Levine, can you tell us what is 17 gender dysphoria and why does it matter how we treat 18 adolescents with gender dysphoria? 19 Well, gender dysphoria refers to the incongruence between Α 20 one's identity as -- one's gender identity as being different 21 than the sex of the body. Depending on the criteria --22 depending on the nosology or the classification systems that 23 are being used in America, the DSM-5-TR is a classification 24 system that requires and defines gender dysphoria as distress 25 over the incongruence between one's current gender sense of

self and one's body and often is associated with the desire to have the secondary sex characteristics and to portray -- of the opposite sex, and to portray one's self in society as a member of the opposite sex.

Gender dysphoria implies and requires, according to that diagnosis, distress or perhaps we should call that a kind of suffering. But there is another classification system used in Europe called the ICD-11 now, and it does not require the presence of distress, it just requires the aspiration to live as a member of the opposite sex. This is all complicated not only by the fact that we have two different classification systems in the world to define gender, to define this problem, but also the fact that the form of gender identity variations that are showing up in the last ten years have gone from the previous distress over not being a member of the opposite sex to defining one's self broadly as either having we call nonbinary, that is I'm neither male nor female, I am a combination of male and female or I'm neither male nor female. And that's evolving.

It's been an interesting thing to watch in the last ten years, the rapid evolution of the sense of an atypical gender identity, but generally in America we refer to gender dysphoria as a distressful condition where there's a mismatch between the biologic sex and its sexual characteristics and one's sense of gender identity. That is, my body and I don't match.

Q Dr. Levine, can you tell us how -- can you explain how we treat minors with gender dysphoria shapes their chances of living a happy and healthy life?

A So the real issue here is the consequences of gender change. When I use the term "affirmative care", I'm referring to giving cross-sex puberty-blocking hormones, cross-sex hormones in various surgeries, removal of the breast, removal of the testes, removal of the penis and of the ovaries, of the uterus and so forth. So the consequences of these affirmative medical treatments in adolescents are going to be a consequence for the rest of that person's life. And so when 13 year olds and 14 year olds say that I never want to have children, I can't possibly live in this body, they are predicting or they're asking for, and the medical profession, that is affirmative, is cooperating with sterilizing them, with giving them characteristics of the opposite sex which will affect every aspect of their life in adult life.

And what we're talking about is cooperating with a passionate view that a 13, 14, 15, 16 year old or sometimes even younger has about their future, and they really have very little concept of what their future holds. So we think that there needs to be a lifelong perspective about the consequences of gender change in adolescents and even earlier of younger minors. So what I'm saying is that based upon my clinical experience that the treatment of adolescents and younger people

with gender dysphoria needs to have a lifelong perspective, we
need to be thinking about what we know about adults with
transgender identities and what medical and psychological and
social problems they have before we start administering
hormones to 15 year olds.

- Q Dr. Levine, can you just tell us briefly what is it that is known about adults who have gone down that road?
- A Well, every public health study of transgender communities of adults recognizes that they are disadvantaged in terms of healthcare, they have more substance abuse, they have shortened life expectancies, they have more vocational problems, they're on disability more often than the general population, and trans communities are often looking for continued psychiatric care and at rates that are higher than a general population. And the sad part is that even after -- there's evidence that even after total -- doing everything that doctors and surgeons can do for people, they still have a higher suicide rate than the general population.

So if you look at all cause mortality, if you look at completed suicides, if you look at the incidence of cancer, of heart disease, all these things are higher in the adult trans communities. And the ironic thing is that all the articles that specify these things are urging more trans care, which is a very hard thing for me to get my head around when we see that the profile of the health and the social problems of adult

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trans communities are so problematic and then we have a group of people who are arguing for giving younger people -- putting them on a pathway to join that community of problems. And so I'm looking -- I'm one of the people who say wait a second, one of the things we need to think about when we're considering giving hormones and reinforcing and supporting the idea that you can live a happy, successful life as a trans person is to look at the lives of adults who are trans.

I'm not talking about picking out one person who's successful or five people who are successful but look at the public health data. The public health data which has been published in very reputable journals all recognize that the trans community is a problematic community in terms of public health parameters. And this has been known probably for at least ten years. So what we have here is this idea that we have advocates who are saying let's full speed ahead, take every child who says that they're trans or nonbinary and let's support them and tell them that they can have a happy, successful life, we'll remove their sexual organs, we'll cause sterility for them, we'll change their social patterns of intimate relationships and at the same time we know that marriage rates and sexual dysfunction and even physical health parameters are all problematic, that is, the risk of problems are far greater in the trans communities in adult life.

So this is part of the basis of my worry, my public

1 | health worry about what we're doing to young people.

- Q Dr. Levine, do you care for your transgender patients?

  Do you have care and concern for your transgender identifying patients?
  - A Yes. I actually think that the things I'm talking about is in their best interest for them to consider what they're doing from a long term life cycle perspective. I've heard 15, 16-year-old patients tell me that won't happen to me. How they can be sure that won't happen to me. One of the patients who said don't worry, I'm very smart, Dr. Levine, that won't happen to me is now deceased at age 18 from fentanyl overdose. And when I was seeing him, this person wasn't using anything but marijuana, but he went to college as a transsexual and couldn't get a roommate so they assigned him a roommate of another transsexual person but only two trans persons in the college and they didn't get along and he transferred schools. And he went to a new school and he made three overdoses and the third one was fatal.

So I know there are people who do well as trans people at least for a long period of time. And I'm not saying every trans person is problematic, believe me, I'm not, but in thinking about the fate of the patients who are coming to see me in teenage years, I'm aware of the adult trans people I've taken care of for 30 years. And so I'm worried and so I am in my view caring for these people. I'm caring for their long

term interests. I'm caring for their human potential. I'm caring for them as people.

Q Dr. Levine, can you tell us -- shifting gears slightly, can you tell us what changes have been observed to the number of patients presenting with pubertal onset dysphoria compared with early childhood onset dysphoria in the past 10 to 15 years?

A Well, there has been a dramatic and poorly explained rapid increase in the incidence of people who are transgender identified. The Tavistock Clinic took care of -- in 2005, 2006, the Tavistock Clinic, I think, had in one year, nine, and over the course of five years, about 50 people from the UK and then about 12 years later, they had 2750 some people. In other words, there has been a dramatic increase in the request for trans-related affirmative services, or at least psychiatric evaluations over the course of 10 or 15 years. It used to be in the previous century and the early part of this century, it used to be there were 3.5 biologic males who wanted to be females for every biologic female who wanted to be males.

And today the vast -- the typical person presenting for help as a biologic female who wants to be or labels herself a trans male in some clinics, it's seven females, biologic females to biologic males to every one biologic male now. So the overall incidence is much closer now to one to one because of the rapid increase both in males who want to be females and

in females who want to be males. But the explanation for this is something we can only speculate about.

But there has been a dramatic change in the number of girls who were never cross-gender identified as children, and that is in grade school who around puberty get this idea that they would prefer to be a male. And that's been happening in Australia and in Europe and in North America and I believe in Asia as well.

Q Can you tell us how these rapid demographic shifts bear on the question of whether gender dysphoria is socially or culturally influenced?

A Well, I believe the biology doesn't change that much in 15 years. Our genes and how they operate, the program for the human life cycle doesn't change that much, and I don't think that the distress of children or pubertal children is that different. What is different is the social interpretations of what it means to be distressed around puberty about one's body, about one's bodily functions and about one's roles that they see that older people have. And so children are redefining their sense of self in terms of this trans ideology today and their beliefs that many of them believe that this is biological, it has nothing to do with what they're going through as 12 and 13, 14 year olds.

And it just doesn't make scientific sense that we suddenly have a change, suddenly biology is rearing its head in

dictating a trans identity. As many people have come to realize that teenagers and now especially during COVID when teenagers were at home have spent a great deal of time on the internet and we believe that the internet has many opportunities to learn about trans life, and we think this has been an influence on the rising incidence of transgender identities.

But I can say that while I could go on and speculate about why there has been this increase, I don't really think that science can tell you why. We can speculate and various people have various speculations. Some people think it's a social contagion from the internet, other people think it's a social contagion from friends, close relationships, especially among girls who have a friend who's trans. Some people think that it's a retreat from adverse life experiences and family disruptions and inability to like both parents or love both parents, but these are all speculations, and I doubt since there are so many people involved with this that we would find one explanation that would explain everything.

We need to understand that in mental health work and trying to grasp what happens to people who become who they become and why, that we can't ever find one explanation for things. Things are multifactorial, so I think this phenomenon must be multifactorial as well, but it is a dramatic worldwide change in how young people are identifying. And they're not

identifying as transsexuals like they were in 1990, for example. The majority of them are identifying in something that they call nonbinary. They're neither male nor female, they're somewhere in between and they recognize that they're evolving. And I like the term "gender fluid" because it talks about the processes of becoming.

Now, as we know from general psychology, adolescence is a period of time where there are dramatic changes between puberty and the end of adolescence, we'll call that 18 for our purposes. There are dramatic changes in many aspects of identity, and gender identity is just one aspect of that change from youth or childhood to the end of adolescence. The great -- the leaders in this field like Erik Erikson have said that one of the tasks of adolescence was to clarify sexual identity.

And sexual identity today is known to include three aspects: Gender identity, which we're discussing today; orientation, which we haven't mentioned yet, that is whether I'm homosexually attracted or heterosexually attracted or bisexually attracted, and what I call the intention component, that is what interests me sexually in what I do with my partners, whether I'm just conventional or whether I'm a little on the kinky side or sadomasochistic side, for example. So those are the aspects of identity that are known to evolve in all human beings as we go from say 11 or 12 to 18 and if you

| will, older, 21 or even older.

- Q Dr. Levine, what's the state of the evidence concerning the existence of a brain structure that causes gender dysphoria?
  - Well, there's been a lot of speculation over the years whether this is biological, and if it's biological, is it in hormones, is it something caused by prenatal hormones, and for years that has been investigated. We've never made any progress to demonstrate that it's a hormonal phenomenon, so the next thing was, is it a brain structure. And the most recent article on this which was published in 2021 from an Italian group summarized the state of being that there's nothing specific about the brain that can be said to be the cause or the antecedent of gender dysphoria.

And all the studies are complicated by the fact that many of them with patients who were already on hormones and hormones themselves may have caused brain abnormalities, and one can't distinguish changes in the brain that may be due to homosexual orientation from changes in the brain that may be due to either the treatment of or the presence of a gender dysphoria.

So it's inconclusive. And I think -- I've been in trials where the other expert witness has testified that this is a brain structure problem, and even though the data by the people who are experts in this cannot conclude that, there are individual studies that suggest that possibly this is true, but

these are older studies that the MRI -- there's newer technology that comes out all the time, but the most recent studies which were published in 2019 and again last year, are very conservative in their conclusions, so I would say that as of today, we have no right to think that this is -- that the brain structures of transgender identifying teenagers are distinctly different and we cannot say this is the prelude, the neurophysiologic or neuroanatomical prelude to future gender identity -- gender dysphoria.

We have to separate preferred ideas and speculations from what science knows. Science does not know that there's a brain structure that causes either in males or females a transgender identity or gender dysphoria or gender incongruence, depending on which classification system you prefer.

- Q So we've discussed some of the social influences that may affect gender dysphoria. Can you talk a little more specifically about what social factors influence pubertal onset gender dysphoria, for example?
- A I think exposure to the internet and transgender sites, I think early life bonding difficulties. Transgender identities are much more common in foster children, for example, than in non-foster children, children in home. I'm sorry. I think I lost my place. Would you repeat your question?
- Q Sure. I was asking about social factors that influence pubertal onset gender dysphoria.

A By social, we want to separate things that are in society as a whole, celebrities, fashion. Clothing fashion has become the -- Madison Avenue picks up on these kind of things and so clothing styles, entertainment in the media, and what is taught at schools, these are all part of the environment of the child that is called social, but also determinative social force are the social forces within the family. And so when there are disruptive bonds between mother and child and father and child, when there is violence in the home, when there is sexual abuse in the home, these are all social factors that we can separate from the psychological impact of those social factors, you see.

The best we can do is to list the megasocial factors, the media, fashion industry, the entertainment industry, what's in the paper, social movements, political movements that are going on, the celebration, the celebration of a trans life as a diverse life and as a part of an ideology of including more and more people in the right to have a full life without discrimination. How that impacts on the brain of a developing child, none of us are sure.

Then there are the social factors that involve the bonds between parents, the things that go on in the family, that which is admirable and that which is not admirable in one's parents and grandparents and aunts and uncles and so forth.

And we have the child's understanding of what it means to be a male and what it means to be a female, you see. These are all

factors that are outside the child but they impinge upon the						
child. And none of us, none of us know exactly how those						
things are going to influence in any individual child, but the						
fact that they're there and the fact that we have an explosion						
of incidence of transgender identity across the world must mean						
that some of the things that are happening around us in the big						
system, not the family system, must be interacting with the						
things that are happening in the family system to produce the						
psychological changes that are somewhat unpredictable. I						
should say are always somewhat unpredictable for your child and						
my child.						

And what can you tell us about differences in susceptibility to social influences as between boys and girls?

A Well, I can only suspect that it must be more difficult in today's age when in the larger social influences where there's been so much emphasis, and rightly so, on how women's lives have been constrained by societal concepts and in the last 20, 30 years, women have developed all new -- there are many new opportunities for women to do what they're able to do and what they want to do and many of these kids I think have seen the fact that women have been discriminated against and women have been held back by social concepts, and so girls -- girls going into puberty not liking their bodies and the body's physiologic functions for a while and seeing the social discrimination or the barriers that women have may in fact make

them more vulnerable to deciding that it's no good to be a woman and it's better to be a man.

I'm speculating, you see. I think the answer to your question always is going to be a speculation. Various people who have studied this have said that it's not clear why girls are more vulnerable these days to being trans. I wish I could tell you definitively the answer to your question. I think about that a lot, but I realize it's just Dr. Levine's thinking. It's not science.

- Q Dr. Levine, are you familiar with what has been called the desistance studies?
- 12 A What was the first part of the question?
- Q Are you familiar with what has been called the desistance studies?
- 15 A Yes, I know about desistance.

- 16 Q Just to develop the question a bit, can you tell us about
  17 those class of studies and the patient population that they
  18 pertain to?
  - A Yes. Well, desistance has several meanings. The most dramatic meaning of desistance is somebody who has identified and lived as a trans person who decides to return to living in consonance with their biologic sex. And the desistance as we know it today is appearing, data are appearing about the rates of desistance and the desistance studies of people -- well, I'm sorry, I have to divide the answer to the question of

desistance of minors who have been identified as cross-gender children or gender dysphoric children versus people who have been treated for trans with affirmative care in adolescence.

So among the first group, that is the minors who are cross-gender identified, the desistance studies, there have been 11 desistance studies and each of the 11 studies have shown that the majority of children who are cross-gender identified who are not socially transitioned or given any hormones and so forth, these children desist, the majority of these people, by the time they're adolescents or at the end of adolescence, the vast majority of these children have returned to living a gender identity in keeping with their biologic sex.

But the second group of desistance studies involve the people who have been given affirmative care, most of these people are people who did not have a trans identity during grade school years, and the couple of studies that are available from the UK, within 16 months, 6.9 percent of kids had desisted and another 3 percent looked like they were desisting and yet another study showed people who got hormones first at age 20, that up to 30 percent of them had desisted in five years, that is, had returned to not taking hormones and seemed to live again in their -- in a way that's consistent with their biologic sex.

So what we're having now is a group of people -- and the famous study about this is by Lisa Littman who recently

published accounts of 100 people who desisted and the stated reasons for it. But the awareness of desistance is a contraversion of the idea that I used to hear a lot of from affirmative care advocates that gender identity is not only biologic but it's immutable. That is, it's unchangeable, and once a transgendered person, always a transgendered person. So we have these desistance studies. We have these people who no longer live that way.

I published a study a few years ago of a man that saw me when he was a junior in college and he lived as a woman for 30 years and desisted and came back to see me 30 years later to help return to living as a male. So anecdotally, that is, individual doctors have these experiences with desistance, but now we have studies that are beginning to show the rate of desistance and we suspect that desistance is going to be much more common in the future as we have exploded in the number and the way we treat these teenagers without adequate assessment and adequate attempt to provide them with help with some of the problems that they're having.

- Q Dr. Levine, you mentioned the 11 research studies that indicated a high rate of desistance. Are you aware of attempts to discount applicability of that research?
- A Oh yes. The big issue in the attempt to discount that research was that some of these kids that were cross-gender identified were subthreshold for the diagnosis at the time was

called Gender Identity Disorder of children. And so the data was reanalyzed and it still showed that the people who met the criteria, all the diagnostic criteria that's called the DSM-IV criteria in those days, they desisted at the rate of 67 percent, and the ones that were subthreshold desisted at the rate of 93 percent. So it stands that the majority of children who are cross-gender identified who are not socially transitioned who are not encouraged in this will desist, that is, will return to the fact that they are reasonably happy living in their biologic sex.

Now, what you should know about all this is that studies in the 1980s have demonstrated that gender atypical children grow up at a far greater rate to be homosexual men or lesbian women or bisexual adults. It's not that cross-gender identity does not have a consequence, that is, it isn't a prelude to something. The natural history of strong cross-gender identity is to develop a homosexual or bisexual orientation in adolescence and adult life. And so this leads to some concerns that by medicalizing or socializing a 4 year old or 6 year old or an 8 year old into the opposite gender presenting them the opposite gender, what we're really doing is trying to get rid of homosexual people, and that this does not seem right to many of us.

The natural history of cross-gender identity is to largely become a gay adolescent, a gay and lesbian adolescent.

And what we're doing is then if we medicalize them, if we give them a diagnosis and put them on a track to socialize them in school as the opposite gender and then give them puberty-blocking hormones and then cross-sex hormones and then take off their breasts or their penis and their scrotum and so forth, what we're really doing here is interfering with the natural development of homosexual orientation. And what we're doing is putting them on a course that they're going to need medical care and be more susceptible to medical illnesses including benign -- some incurable things like gallbladder disease and the need for surgery for gallstones.

So this whole idea of what I'd say premature decision-making without understanding of the lifetime consequences of this includes the not understanding that these are likely to be homosexual children, young homosexual adolescents and adults when they grow up. And what's wrong with that. You see, why would we medicalize, why would we change that natural evolution of cross-gender identifications are a prelude, statistically significant prelude to adolescent and adult orientation of homosexual nature.

- Q Shifting gears here. Dr. Levine, let's talk about the two primary treatment models for gender dysphoria. So can you tell us what are the two primary models of therapy for adolescents with gender dysphoria?
- 25 A The most -- there are two. One is a profound and

thorough psychiatric assessment that would lead to family and individual psychotherapy and the other is the gender affirmative model.

- Q Tell us about the psychotherapeutic approach to minors with gender-related psychiatric conditions.
- A As I may have already mentioned, a vast majority of these children and adolescents have recognizable problems with anxiety, socialization, depression, ADD, and a very large percentage of them are autistic or on the autistic spectrum disorder. So some of them as well, some of the older ones like 15 year olds are already heavily using marijuana. And anyway, if you look at any one of those things, the anxiety, the depression, eating disorders, evidence of self-harm, suicidality, substance abuse, autism, ADD, learning disabilities, and so forth, all those things need some kind of attention from a mental health professional.

When they have gender dysphoria along with those things, there is this tendency to think all these things are going to get better if we can transition the child. The child has this passionate notion that they are trans and that they need and want and fervently desire hormones and they can't possibly live their life in their sex bodies. So the psychiatric or the psychotherapeutic approach begins with a competent, slow psychiatric assessment of all the associated problems and an attempt to interest both the parents and the -- because we're

talking about minors here, the parents have to be involved, both the child and the parents in cooperation with seeing what we can do to understand how each of these problems got manifested, what were the antecedents and what can we do about it.

Now, as you know -- I'm sorry, you may not know, but the incidence of childhood adversity among this group is very high, so when they have been physically abused or sexually abused or they've had disruptive -- disruptions between their mother and their father and themselves and so forth, we tend to talk about the child's development, help them understand what they feel, give them words for what they feel and work through the events that happened to them when they were younger from the point of view of their now more mature 18, 14 year old, 15 year old sensibilities, their understandings of life, so this process is not an attempt to change their gender identity, it's an attempt to help them with what we call comorbidities.

And I can tell you, Your Honor, that other psychiatric problems that children face are all treated -- primarily the first step are treated with an assessment and psychiatric individual and family interactions. Gender dysphoria seems to be the exception. If a child presents with gender dysphoria, we bypass all that problem and sometimes within one hour, some affirmative therapists are saying to the parents your child is gender dysphoric and the treatment should be hormones or

socialization in the opposite gender. And this does not make any sense to people who have practiced psychiatry for years and understand that transgender people are human beings first.

They're not trans people first, they're humans first.

And when they have all these psychiatric challenges, they deserve the standard psychiatric care which involves a thorough assessment and opportunities to consider what lies behind this anxiety, what lies behind this self-harm, what lies behind this suicidality, these thinking and thoughts of suicide, why are you depressed, what is the explanation of why you can't make friends, why you're so unhappy as a person, why you're so pessimistic. This is just what I consider to be and others consider to be good psychiatric care.

Q Can you tell us how psychotherapy could be helpful with respect to minors' perceptions of what it means to be a male or what it means to be a female?

A Well, an 8 year old or a 12 year old's concept of what the range of male -- of acceptable behavior for men, what acceptable behaviors -- what behaviors are acceptable to be a man is very limited in a young person. I've had many a patient in the early years when I asked why do you want to be a woman and the answer comes out to be something close to, well, I have all these feelings and I want to express my feelings and my sisters can express their feelings, but in my family, we can't express our feelings if we're male. I'm not saying that that's

the explanation for all transgenderism. I'm just saying that children have a very limited concept of the possibilities of what they can be in the world.

We can be thoughtful, compassionate, noncompetitive, like bright colors, like to sing and dance, be more interested in theater and theology and still be a male. You see, I can wear pink, I can be a male and wear pink. I can express myself in fashion as a male anyway I want. I don't have to change my body in order to express myself as a male. And an 8 year old doesn't understand that. Even a 13 year old doesn't understand that. And we can say the same things for girls, you see.

When I was growing up, when I think about the ideas that I believed when I was a child, I believed that a girl could not biologically learn how to throw a softball. That's what I believed as growing up in my society. And just look at what has happened in the course of a generation or a lifetime where our concepts of what a woman can be and what a man can be has changed so dramatically. It takes time to understand these things. We don't get this when we're 4 and we're 6 and we're 8. We don't even get it sometimes when we're 25.

So psychotherapy is an opportunity to have a conversation of trust in a place that's safe with somebody who's compassionate and thoughtful and interested in the welfare of that particular child, you see. And that is not how affirmative care works. Affirmative care says psychotherapy

only should be supportive of the transgender identity and should be cheerleading for the opportunity to go forward as in this changed way, and minimizes all the dangers or doesn't even discuss the dangers. So psychotherapy is really a process of getting to know the child in a position of trust and confidentiality, of helping the parents understand what science knows about the pros and cons, the benefits and the risks, and eventually to help the child talk about his own concerns about this, you see. This is what has been, in some of our writings, referred to as true informed consent.

Informed consent requires time to appreciate the risks and the aspirational benefits that risks, you see, the harms and what we know about adult trans communities and their social problems. So that has to be conveyed to the parents and that has to be conveyed to the child or the child has to come to grips with his own or her own fears about what they're undertaking. You see, when a 15 year old says I have no fears, this won't happen to me, these harms cannot -- I'll get a good surgeon. You see, human beings are ambivalent about everything. I think we need to understand that. There's more ambivalence about things -- we're ambivalent about our husbands and our wives. We're ambivalent about our children. We have mixed feelings about everything.

And the idea that a 15 year old has no ambivalence about this is an indication either, one, they're lying to us; two, they're lying to themselves; or three, they have not lived long enough to appreciate the complexity of the struggle it means to be a human being, which is there are mixed feelings about almost everything we do. There are mixed feelings about my wonderful profession of being a doctor. I'm sure those lawyers in this room have mixed feelings about being a lawyer. You see, it's just in the nature. It's what psychiatry has learned about the nature of human life is that we're conflicted. We struggle.

Q Let me ask you about body discomfort. How can psychotherapy help with body discomfort?

A Well, we have a body, it has characteristics, it's kind of the universal thing that we struggle with our characteristics of our body. We're too tall or we're too short, we're too thin or we're too heavy. This organ is big or too small. We talk about these things, you see, but in dealing with and creating a trustful environment in which a child or teenager can tell us over time what he or she thinks and feels and we accept it and understand it and process it, many times people's attitudes change about their body parts. In psychiatry we have this diagnosis called body dysmorphic disorder. It's a problem when one particular body part is the source of great distress.

But gender dysphoria, when it meets criteria, when people meet criteria, it's not simply about one body part, it's about

the body parts that designate them as a member of their biologic sex. So we're not talking just about the shape and size of the breasts, we're talking about the presence of the breasts, for example, in a trans male teenager. So when we're talking about all those other issues that I mentioned previously, sometimes there are attitudes toward those body parts and toward the sex body begins to modify and they come to accept the fact that they are a male or a female and they have to find some way of living within that body without doing harm to that body.

So I can't tell you -- there's no technology for doing that, like step one, step two, step three, you see. It is a process of a trusting conversation over time where a child can express their thoughts and feelings about their -- about every aspect of their lives, and some of that conversation involves their discomfort with their sex body parts. I don't think I can tell you more specifically than that.

- Q Can you tell us about any experience you have with patients who maybe fail to realize that they're not unique with respect to body discomfort?
- A Yes. You know, I think all of us in the room can understand, can remember going through puberty and the discomforts we had with our bodies, our bodily selves, and some of us had discomforts with some of the character traits we had. We weren't courageous enough, for example, or we weren't strong

enough or we weren't pretty enough, or we weren't smart enough, we weren't athletic enough. All these things that when we're going through puberty are exaggerated but they're private, they're subjected privacies that we don't talk very often to our peers about or to our parents about so we think it's unique.

The discovery of the pleasures of genital touching, for example, which is a universal phenomenon is an extremely private phenomenon, so we as 7th graders and 6th graders and 5th graders, we think it's unique to us. When, in fact, it's going on in every chair in every classroom we sit in, you see. So the trusted process of psychotherapy is a process where these things can be discussed, and so generally speaking, this is a very helpful thing for human beings. And it doesn't, quote, cure this or that by some technology, it's about a trusted human relationship that allows the sense of self to be better understood, you see. And it keeps people from believing they're the only ones who are discomforted by menstruation, for example, or their breast size, or how their mother has been demeaned by their father.

- Q Can you explain how psychotherapy can help, for example, with coping or resilience skills?
- A Well, the processes I've just talked about, the child gets more awareness of what he or she feels, has words for what he or she feels, and begins to process the feelings that they

have and they gain confidence in talking, they gain confidence in how they view themselves, you see. And they sometimes get courage to do things that they were afraid to do before. This sometimes is referred to as the process of gaining resilience and coping better with all things that are coming down the pike for them in life.

So psychotherapy helps people mature. It helps people understand themselves and by nature it helps them understand others, and in this process, they can then make decisions that are better informed.

Q Can you tell us -- explain whether the psychotherapeutic approach, is this the same thing that is called conversion therapy or reparative therapy or are these different things?

A Well, Mr. Cantrell, this whole field of gender medicine is so politicized that in order to prevent the processes that I've just described, these processes have been pejoratively relabeled as either reparative therapy or conversion therapy, and the politics of this is such that is so powerful that people like to do things like I do, that is, psychotherapists have been frightened to be called a conversion therapist because conversion therapy is some kind of attempt to change people's gender identity.

Historically it's based on psychiatry's misadventure when before 1974, psychiatry thought that homosexuality was some kind of psychopathologic state and they devised treatments to

cure homosexuality which, of course, all failed, and became -the term "conversion therapy" arose from that history of
psychiatry, and mostly in the 20th century, but that term has
just been applied by people who were involved in affirmative
care as conversion therapy. This is so bad -- this is so bad
and this came about in large part because the Standards of Care
that WPATH issued ten years ago or more said that psychiatric
assessment did not have to be done, all they had to do was
affirm the presence of gender identity disorder and that
psychotherapy was not the treatment for gender dysphoria, the
psychotherapy should only be supportive of the transition.

So before we knew it, all kinds of -- anyone who wanted to talk in the way that I've been describing to you was referred to as conversion therapy. And there have been laws passed in various municipalities preventing conversion therapy. But it's been a great unscientific leap by using the term "conversion therapy" for what I'm talking about, from conversion therapy of trying to cure homosexual people of their homosexual desire.

Now, you need to understand that in the United States, this politicization of psychotherapy as an approach to gender identified -- cross-gender identified children and adolescents, in the United States, conversion therapy is what is thrown at people like me. We're called anti-trans people and conversion therapists. But in Europe and Sweden and Finland and the UK,

in France, psychotherapy is the most recent recommended -first approach recommendation to the treatment of gender
atypical youth. It's only in America that we talk about
conversion therapy and people like me are attacked as
conversion therapists.

I'm not a conversion therapist when I'm trying to convert someone from depression to not being depressed or from an anxious person to being a confident person, from a substance abusing person to being a sober person, you see, but I'm attacked as a conversion therapist when I'm trying to do psychotherapy with a family and a child who's thinking about changing their gender presentation. It makes no sense in some larger way. It's politics, politics, politics. And it's part of trying to suppress any attempt, any attempt to give people a wider choice so that when they're older they can make an informed decision about how they want to live.

- Q So you've talked about how psychotherapy can help a person, a patient recognize how things may change as they mature, as they gain life experience. Can you say a little bit more about how that is different from conversion therapy?
- A I think conversion therapy thinks that the person, you cannot be a trans person, it's a terrible thing to be, you're immoral to do that. That's not how psychotherapy works.
- That's not how psychotherapy works at all. Psychotherapy works by listening to the patient's narrative of their lives, by,

over time, developing a trustful environment, a trust, and a confidential environment where the child can share increasingly over time his or her subjective experiences and raises questions about the sources of their problems which often are self-harm, low self-esteem, poor socialization, being very anxious about numerous things, and frequently trying to get them out of a state of depression.

It's not attack on their current gender identity. It's an attempt to establish a relationship where their subjective lives can be shared with another hopefully informed mature person who's kind and compassionate and knowledgeable about what distorts, for example, physical abuse and sexual abuse and being sold as a sexual slave, so to speak. I mean, I've had patients who at age 4 have been farmed out for money for sexual purposes.

So psychotherapy is mischaracterized by affirmative care people and the word that they use is conversion, but it's like they have no understanding really of what good psychotherapy consists of. And it is not an attack on the gender identity of the patient. It is trying to understand the development of the person and what explains the numerous symptoms that they have.

Q So we've been talking about the psychotherapeutic approach. I'm going to switch over and talk more specifically about what you've called the gender affirmative approach. Can you just briefly tell the Court what is the medicalized

approach, how it differs from the psychotherapeutic approach?

A Well, when a child, an adolescent says that they are transgender, whatever word they use, numerous words that could fall under that umbrella like I'm gender binary, I'm gender fluid, I'm pan gender, I'm agender, the therapist reviews ideally the criteria for gender dysphoria or gender incongruence and is not interested in how the person got to that identity state, but what to do to support that identity state. And so the things to support would be to explain how they may proceed. They may present themselves in school in a different way.

They can cut their hair short if they're a girl, they can wear boys' clothing, they can wear girls' clothing. I'm trying to jump between the biologic male and the biologic female, be confusing. And so there are -- there are just a limited ways of medical support or affirmative care. One is to socially transition, that would include clothing and name, name change and so forth. And then depending on the age, it would be puberty-blocking hormones, cross-gender hormones, removal of the breasts. That's usually the first surgery that's done in the youngest of people is bilateral mastectomies for trans identified male teenagers.

The usual genital surgeries to get rid of the penis and the scrotum are not typically done before age 18. So it's just the sequence of social transition, various forms of hormones

and various forms of surgery. And the surgeries not only are breasts and genital surgery, but for many times, there's facial feminization surgery, there's surgery on the larynx trying to make the voice more -- higher in the registers for biologic males who are trans females, and there are numerous other cosmetic things that may be done. But the psychotherapy that WPATH recommends as part of medical affirmative treatment is supportive therapy.

It's not investigating the things that I've talked about. It's not investigating the influence of childhood adversities. It's to support the presentation of the self, the new self, and to be optimistic about and make suggestions to deal with whatever ongoing medical psychiatric problems that may exist. The truth is that in all medical care, especially in the United States, in all medical care, we do what we do, the surgeon does the surgery, the endocrinologist does the hormones, and then we basically lose sight of the patient.

So we don't really know what happens to those people over time. That's one of the reasons why studies are so important. So medicalization therapy is pretty simple therapy. It is staged therapy. This stage, this stage, this stage, this stage, this stage, goodbye. Have a nice life.

Q So in view of that and in view of the current state of the evidence, can you explain what are the fundamental problems with prescribing hormones and surgery to minors for

gender-related psychological issues?

A Well, we don't have any follow-up studies on what happens to these teenagers who are given hormones. They're often given hormones by pediatric endocrinologists and the child gets to be 20, 25, 30, and the pediatric endocrinologist turns them over to someone else. And because medical care is there's nothing compelling a patient to come back to a doctor, you see. If the patient doesn't like the doctor, they don't come back. What we really need in this field is to know what the consequences of affirmative care are prospectively. The research that needs to be done is much more sophisticated than it ever has been done in order to answer the questions that we have. I'm sorry, I think I've lost your original question.

Q Let me ask this question. Can you tell us what is the evidence that gender dysphoria is cured or ameliorated by hormones or surgery?

A Gender dysphoria, you recall, is a distress about not having the full characteristics of the opposite sex, and gender dysphoria from the doctor's point of view is ameliorated by giving them what they want, that is cross-sex hormones. They really don't know whether, for example, if you give testosterone to a biologic girl and they don't really know whether the gender dysphoria disappears. If you take off the breasts of a gender dysphoric male, they still have female genitals so they live with the social appearance or the

appearance of the chest and female genitalia. So the incongruence of the body continues, you see, and the idea that surgery, for example, taking -- changing -- what we call vaginoplasty, creating a vagina, female appearing genitals from a biologic male in a trans female, many of those people are still gender dysphoric, they don't feel like they're feminine enough.

Their bodies are tall or masculine in some ways, many of them want to have a facial feminization surgery, so the quest to increasingly feminize over time would indicate to people like me that gender dysphoria persists, you see, that you're putting this child on a pathway where they're always going to be a little concerned that they're not feminine enough or they're not masculine enough. And then when it comes to intimate relationships, you see, it's a particular problem for trans people.

The number of people who are interested in forming an intimate romantic relationship with a trans person is limited compared to cis-gendered people. And the post surgical problems include sexual dysfunction, and the post hormonal problems include sexual dysfunction. These things are not discussed widely. Sex is a very difficult thing for people to talk about in general conversation. So when we're thinking about the long term follow-up of hormone treatment, we really mean the long term follow-up. When we are talking about the

consequences or the harms, we need to specify what harms we're talking about and people need to know in order to be informed going into this treatment what these harms and what these consequences are.

And my point or our point has been that informed consent for trans children and their families has been woefully inadequate because it's left out the long term uncertainties, you see, it's uncertain because we haven't done the studies. We only have cross sectional looks at the adult communities and their problems and we only have the immediate and the one-year, two-year follow-ups of the surgical complications of genital surgery and breast surgery. So these are the harms and the uncertainties about the harms that parents need to be informed about which they're not being informed about.

Q Would that include, for example, the evidence of long term impact on quality of life measures, is that what you're getting at?

A For example, I can't remember the specific citation, but a recent study I read said the quality -- one questionnaire of quality of life showed that post surgically the general psychological quality of life was improved from the pre-surgical time but the quality of health, the physical health dimensions were worse, and that's because even though in informed consent, people are advertising that hormones and surgery are safe and effective, but when you look at 30 percent

1 reoperation rates, it doesn't seem very effective to me. So 2 the health concerns of people post surgically are often 3 problematic and that gives rise to, well, I'm glad I had the surgery because I'm now more of a man or more of a woman, but I 4 5 don't feel so healthy, I got this problem or that problem. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Cantrell, I'm going to interrupt you 7 and take a short break and give Karen a break and me as well. 8 Let's be in recess until 10:50. You can step down, Dr. Levine. 9 (Recess from 10:34 AM until 10:50 AM.) BY MR. CANTRELL: 10 11 Q Dr. Levine, welcome back. 12 Α Thank you. 13 So before the break we were talking about the 14 gender-affirmative or medicalized approach to adolescent gender 15 dysphoria. I want to continue with that. Can you tell the 16 Court -- I want to talk about some of the psychosocial risks of 17 the medicalized approach. So can you explain to the Court some 18 of the psychosocial risks pertaining to friendships that 19 transgender identifying patients tend to have as they grow older? 20 21

A It's hard to know. On a rate basis, I can tell you from my clinical experience that the transgender identified teenager often counts among their only friends to be other gender binary or trans identified friends. That begins to evolve because before a child is transgender identified, he or she may have a

22

23

24

25

range of friends that no one -- the issue of sexual identity is not part of that friendship, it's only the sex is part of the friendship. The sex of the body. Girls being with girls and boys with boys.

But as the identification gets socialized, the friendship patterns tend to change and there's a lot of support among the trans people and, in fact, in my clinical experience, most of the early romantic relationships between trans people among trans adolescents is with -- the romantic relationship is with another trans identified person. So a trans male would have a romantic relationship with another trans male and then think of themselves as sort of gay. Or the trans female would have a romantic relationship, a sexual relationship with another trans female.

There's a lot of support for trans people from the internet, and so some of the friendship patterns that I've witnessed are virtual patterns, so someone in Ohio has a really good friend in Texas and they talk every day in Texas but it's a trans identified person. If we go back, if I could -- just a little aspect of your question about puberty-blocking hormones and the psychosocial effects of puberty-blocking hormones. Puberty-blocking hormones are being given at a time when their age mates are undergoing puberty and are changing. They're growing taller, they're growing secondary sex characteristics, they're starting menstruation and ejaculation, and they're

having sexual attractions to one another, and they're learning from these painful experiences. Whereas the child that's kept in a prepubertal state by hormones who often feels in part because of a high incidence of autism, they're having friendship patterns, friendship problems in the first place, some of those autistic kids, they are not changing biologically, and that has social, psychosocial implications for their peers.

So if they're kept on these hormones for a couple years, three years, sometimes four years, they remain looking like a 10 year old where everyone else is 14, 15 years old, you see, and are having patterns. So the psychosocial implications of puberty-blocking hormones can be I'm even further isolated, therefore I'm further depressed, you see. Because in adolescence, one of the key factors in happiness of adolescence is their social connections with their peers. And so if we give kids, if we give them this medical treatment, there's a tendency for them to be even more socially isolated, and therefore more anxious and more depressed.

- Q Can you talk about the psychosocial risks of this medicalized approach as it pertains to a person coming to realize the meaning of permanent sterility?
- A During adolescence, I don't think it's a big issue at all. I think relative infertility or permanent sterility -- I make a distinction between those two terms by the way. When

you take out the uterus and the ovaries or you remove the testes, we're permanently sterilizing people, but infertility is a relative thing so that if someone just takes hormones and wants to have a baby, someone takes testosterone, retains their uterus and their ovaries and they choose ten years later that they would like to have a baby, they can stop their testosterone, they're relatively infertile, they're not sterile. But once you remove their uterus, they're sterile. You understand those distinctions?

Q Uh-huh.

A So the issue about sterility is not -- or infertility is not seemingly germane to the 15 year old, to the 16 year old, to the 17 year old. But as time goes on and interpersonal relationships are characterized by things that people in their 20s are concerned with, career, stable relationships, love, sexual pleasure, reproduction, all the sudden a child who at 15 wasn't concerned about this, it sort of comes into focus, I can't have a child. And that may have meaning for my partner who wants to have a child, you see, and it may have meaning for me because oh, my god, I can't have a child. Or if I can't have a child by stopping my testosterone, I can't breast feed this child because I don't have any breasts anymore because I was eager to get rid of them when I was 14 years old.

So when I talked earlier about a life cycle perspective, this is one of the implications of that. That these events,

these medical events, these surgical events, they have meaning,
but the meaning does not appear for years later, and then they
have a different life trajectory, you see, which may not
displease everyone. Lots of people don't have children, but
many people want to have children. Even those who don't want
to have children like the idea that they can have children if
they chose in the future. But that option is gone for people
who have been surgically fixed, whatever.

Q Can you say a little bit more, briefly, about the psychological life tasks that are faced by a 20, 30, 40 year old as opposed to an 18 year old or younger?

A The life tasks of a 20 year old is to find one's identity, is to find one's vocation, one's vocational pathway, it's to find someone to love or to be loved or to choose to have a life without permanent connection. So it has to do with the fixity that one is settled with who I am in terms of sexual identity, and the issue is what will I do in the world vocationally, how will I identify vocationally, and where do I stand in terms of my aspiration for romance and permanent, stable, loving connection, because love has two faces.

I want to love somebody and I want to be loved by somebody, and if I want to be married or I want to have a stable relationship, that is, what are my chances of finding a partner and what are my chances of staying with that partner through thick and thin throughout the course of my life until

death do us part. So those are the three issues.

And then when we think about the endocrine treatment and then the surgical treatment of trans teenagers, we need to think about how that will impact on sexual identity continuing or absent gender dysphoria, perfect happiness with my transgender state and this body, how will this impact on my vocational aspirations and my ability to actually get the jobs that I seek, that I desire. And what would it mean in terms of finding a stable relationship, a loving relationship, and the creation of a family, and what are my aspirations to be a parent.

So these are the things that the parents of 13 and 14 year olds and sometimes 8 year olds and 9 year olds have to consider. We are not talking about will the child be happy at age 9 because she is on puberty-blocking hormones. We're talking about will this person be happy at age 29 with the new state, a new biologic state, a new social state and new opportunities. And, you know, I don't know how this fits in, but when people are writing about the problems of trans people, they talk about minority stress and the fact that trans people are still the object of considerable discrimination and so this is not a good thing.

We're not saying discrimination is a good thing, we're saying quite the opposite, but the reality for parents facing a decision shall I transition my child, shall I give my child

step one hormones, step two hormones, and step three surgery, what does this mean for my child's future in terms of society's attitudes toward trans people and whether there are opportunities for it. I know there are very successful trans people in many, many fields, you see, but it's the rate. It's the rate of opportunity, not the fact that one or two or five or ten or 10,000 break through. What about 100,000 who don't break through because of discrimination?

So parents have to think about these things and doctors need to be able to present these things to the families of pediatric patients who are transgender. They need to think about this. They may still decide to transition their child, but they have to be able to have an opportunity to think about this, the pros and the cons and the harms that are known and the harms that may be unfolded in the future. It's really hard for parents to think about the sexual lives of their children. That's difficult for any parent.

And you see how is it that doctors talk about sexual dysfunction, future sexual dysfunction to a parent of an 11 year old. It's a really tough discussion. Parents don't like to think about this, but it's part of the reality of what they're getting into, what they're helping their child to get into.

Q Can you explain the evidence concerning whether a medicalized approach to adolescent gender dysphoria reduces

suicide?

A The worst -- let's start with the worst thing to be said to a parent. Would you rather have a trans daughter than visit your son in the cemetery? This used to be frequently told to parents. So I just want to begin there. The next thing I want to say to you is the suicide rate of people who have completed all the transgender treatments that medicine has to offer is higher than the general population by a very large factor. The third thing I want to say is that when we talk about suicide, we need to discriminate between suicidal ideas, thoughts of suicide, suicide attempts that are minor, scratching the self, trying to hang one's self with the pants of your Levi's, you see, from a serious suicide attempt that could be lethal under certain circumstances, and actual completed suicide.

Suicide ideation is very common in trans teens. Suicide, completed suicide in trans teens is just slightly greater than the suicides of people with mental illness of the same age group like schizophrenics, bipolar, substance abusing people. The Tavistock Clinic analysis of suicide there found in the course of, I think, a decade, a little more, of treatment, the Tavistock clinic comes up because it has the most patients in Europe and probably anywhere in the world. And in that study, there were four completed suicides in, I think, I don't remember, 12 years or 15 years, something. Two of them were on the wait list waiting for treatment and two of them were in the

midst of hormonal treatment. So the actual completed suicide rate among kids in treatment is relatively small. It's a rare event, but suicidal thoughts are very common.

I would -- if we wanted a round number, there's about a 50 percent chance that a trans teenager has had thoughts of suicide. And that's much higher than non trans kids. But you see, we don't want -- we want to separate suicidal thoughts from attempts at suicide that are serious and potentially lethal from completed suicide. We can't just lump all those things together. We need to be very discriminate in what we're talking about.

Q So what would you say in response to the claim that the medicalized approach will reduce the risk of suicide for adolescents?

A I think show me the data that, in fact, that that's true. It doesn't exist. The data doesn't exist. But the scare of parents that their child will suicide and when parents are perceived by their children to be resistent to transition for their kid, suicidal ideation increases. So we need to think about, those of us on the front lines, why is this person suicidal? Are they suicidal because they are so pessimistic about their parents' attitude supporting them? Are they suicidal because they've been depressed since they were 8 years old?

We need to understand why. And that's the job of the

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

psychotherapist, the psychologist, the mental health 2 professional. It's not simply to say, oh my god, we're going to cure them of their suicidal potential by giving them Giving them hormones, if that's what they want, will 4 hormones. 5 make them feel better, you see, but it doesn't prevent them 6 being suicidal when they confront some other problem that they 7 have as a result of being trans. Their friendship patterns, 8 their social isolation, their not knowing what to do about going to the prom, whatever.

When you say that giving hormones to an adolescent would make them feel better, is that a short term or long term effect?

I think all the clinicians who give hormones will tell you that they perceive that their patients are much happier now that they're on hormones because they ask that question and the patient looks happier. But these are all short term things. If I want a train for Christmas and my parents can't afford it and a train appears at Christmas time under my Christmas tree, I'm going to be much happier for a short period of time. So what we're saying is that when we're talking about the ability to love the self, to protect the self, to not put one's self in danger of harm, either harmed by others or self-harm like suicide, there's really very little evidence that hormones in the long run prevent suicide.

And as I've already said to you, we have data that shows

that people who have had the complete process have more suicide. And I think an earlier study from Holland by several thousand people who have been treated with hormones only and not surgery, the suicide rate was also elevated. The death by suicide was pretty high.

Suicide is a problem for trans people, but it's not a problem immediately. It's a long term problem. If you look ten years after the final surgery is done, that's when the suicide rate begins to be more dramatically elevated. There is suicide at every stage. Suicide is known to exist at every stage in the medical transitional process, but the highest level is ten years afterwards.

Q Ten years after?

- 14 A After the last surgery, the last genital surgery or 15 breast surgery.
  - Q So shifting to a different topic, I want to talk to you about your history and experience with the World Professional Association for Transgender Health. So please tell us about your history and experience with WPATH.
  - A Because I was interested in all things sexual when I was done with my psychiatric residency, I got interested in this new phenomenon, at least in Cleveland it was a new phenomenon. We called it transsexualism in those days. And there was a professional organization called the Harry Benjamin International Gender Dysphoria Association. And so they had

meetings and I attended those meetings probably from the early '70s on, and I was chosen to be the chairman of the fifth rendition of the Harry Benjamin Association's fifth version of the Standards of Care. I was the chairman of that committee including the seven other people. And in 1999, we issued the Standards of Care. So I was a member of that.

I think in 2007, they changed their name to the World Professional Association for Transgender Health, WPATH. So I was quite aware, of course, being the chairman of that committee that wrote the standard, the 21-page Standards of Care, of how we actually made those recommendations that we made. But I was aware as soon as we submitted those, the president of the organization did not like the idea that our collective wisdom required that two independent mental health professionals needed to give an opinion that hormones were indicated in this particular patient. He thought that was much too conservative and he immediately reissued a new committee to rewrite the sixth Standards of Care which came out three years later.

In the meantime, I attended another meeting and I began to realize that the powers that be in WPATH were not so interested in understanding what this phenomenon was about and what should we do for these people and what are the evidence that what we're doing for these people are helping them. It was much more politicized and it became a pro trans treatment

organization. And as I thought of myself as interested in all things sexual, but in particular in gender identity, what we called in those days gender identity disorders, I thought we departed from the skeptical wanting to be helpful but trying to understand it and learning about this phenomenon, we departed from what I call the scientific approach to it, to a politicized advocacy approach to it.

We were sure, that is, the organization was sure that they were helping people, not harming people, and that we should go full speed ahead in maximizing the access to treatment, and at which time, I decided I no longer could be part of it because they departed from what I believed was the legitimate interest in learning about the phenomenon in trying to discern how best to help people. They decided they knew best how to help people without the evidence that they knew best. And that was a political thing.

So the organization became -- now we just call it WPATH. It became both -- they call themselves a scientific organization, they call themselves a policy making organization, and they call themselves an advocacy organization. And those three things are incompatible. Science is not advocacy. Science is answering a question. And you can't even ask a question if you know the answer. And if the answer is politically -- it's a political question, it's an ideologic question. We were asking scientific questions and

they were responding politically, ideologically.

- Q So how would you evaluate WPATH's claim to speak for the psychiatric profession?
- A WPATH speaks for the people who belong to WPATH. They speak for the population of trans people who believe in the civil rights of adults with transgenderism. They don't speak for the doctors who take care of the inpatients when transsexual people make suicide attempts that are put in the hospital are so depressed they can't function. They don't speak for the doctors who take care of them as outpatients. They don't speak for the vast, vast majority of physicians who encounter the healthcare issues of the trans people. But they say they speak for the medical profession and they are very successful politically.

WPATH is a very successful political organization because they've convinced courts all over that they have the standards of care, they have the knowledge and they have the science. And what I am saying to you is that the decisions and the policies that are made are declarative principles that they declare principles. They're not based on science, they're based on what they think would be helpful to facilitate the lives of transgender people. We're all trying to facilitate the lives of transgender people. That's the point.

Even people who are opposed to hormones for 14 year olds are trying to facilitate the lives of transgender people.

We're not -- we seem to be on opposite positions, you see, but all of us are concerned sincerely with how best to help people who are transiently or permanently identified in teenage years or in early years with their identity, preoccupied with their identity. What we're saying is that all of us are concerned about the long term outcome of these people. The health, the mental health, the physical health, the social health, the vocational health. And I just think WPATH has abandoned the idea that skepticism is the scope of science. They don't permit skepticism. They boo.

I was at a Galveston meeting and when someone said something cautionary, people booed. This is not what happens in American Cardiology Association and American -- this is not how science works, you see. But in WPATH, that's how it works. WPATH suppresses evidence to the contrary. And we've already discussed conversion therapy, you see, which is an example of suppression of ideas to the contrary.

So WPATH really is not taken seriously in Europe anymore. Finland doesn't follow that. The UK doesn't follow that. I actually think Germany is about not to follow it. So although WPATH says world association, it really is much more of a United States association these days, where most of the members are from the United States anyway.

Q Earlier I believe you mentioned the psychiatrists who treat patients who seek inpatient psychiatric care. And I just

want to follow up to make sure that I'm following what you said. What's the relationship between those psychiatrists and WPATH?

A Mr. Cantrell, you asked me if they stand for the medical profession, they represent the medical profession. And I'm saying that these people in WPATH aren't inpatient psychiatrists, they don't take care of people when they make suicide attempts or when they're depressed or when they're in substance abuse treatments. Those people are identified -- those patients are identified as trans people. But the people who take care of them and seeing them at their worst, psychiatric inpatient is when a person is really decompensated, those people aren't members of WPATH. And when they come to see people like me because they're depressed after transition, WPATH doesn't see -- the people who take care of patients when they seek outpatient treatment or inpatient, they're not members of WPATH.

Members of WPATH give hormones and they use surgery and they're advocates, you see, they don't take care of the consequences. And they say they stand for the medical profession, but they say WPATH is really an effective public relations. They have convinced courtrooms that they are the standards of care and they represent medicine's best thinking. And they don't represent medicine's best thinking to the Europeans any longer because the Europeans started this and

they've seen the outcomes much more than America has seen the outcomes.

- Q We'll address that in a moment. Continuing with WPATH, how would you evaluate the WPATH Guideline's concern for uncovering the reason why a patient is experiencing gender dysphoria?
- A I don't think they're that interested in that subject. I think they're interested in the diagnosis and how to support the people in their transition. They're not interested in the background factors, the adversities, the family dysfunction, the disruptions in bonds, the sexual abuse or the autism. Actually they kind of think that autism is no reason not to proceed with transgender, not to be cautious about it. They're just autistic people, they're just on the spectrum. But the truth is that among the trans community, the people who go for trans services, the incidence of autism and the people who are requesting services is seven times higher than the incidence of autism in the general population, and that's from -- that's been demonstrated on three different continents, you see.

So there's something about neuro-atypical or autistic people that predisposes them to think at some point in their lives, usually in teenage years, that they could have a better life and that they are, in fact, a trans person. We know that autism, without gender dysphoria, is a considerable challenge for families and for the individual autistic persons. In fact,

they have a higher suicide rate than the general population as well. They have many more social problems. Some of them are brilliant people and they make wonderful contributions, but interpersonally and personally, the typical autistic person has a lot of challenges that the rest of us don't have.

So they're not so interested in what presented, what forces led to the crystallization of an identity as a trans person, they're just interested in how to support these people and to make sure that they get what they want and they get it as soon as possible. And they believe these people live happily ever after even though they have no data to show that. In fact, there's data to show quite the opposite.

Q Earlier you were talking about the different versions of the WPATH guidelines. Can you explain how WPATH's guidelines have historically dealt with psychotherapy and how they have changed since your involvement?

A Well, prior to the 7th version which I think was published in 2011, psychiatric assessment was required. Even psychotherapy was required. And in 2011, psychotherapy assessment was referred to as gatekeeping. Gatekeeping was used in a very negative fashion. It became a source of oh, my god, you wouldn't want to be called a gatekeeper, you see. The principle in medical ethics -- the first principle in medical ethics is "above all, do no harm." There is another principle -- and there are several principles in medical ethics. There

is one called "respect for patient autonomy." So in this -- in the ethical conflict in taking care of trans people, the doctor is caught between "above all, do no harm" and "respect what the patient wants". The patient's autonomy, respect for autonomy.

It's one thing to understand that patients have a right to choose or choose to have a recommended care or not choose to have a recommended care when they're an adult. But I'm not so sure that an 11 year old gets to choose the medical treatment that he or she desires. And what WPATH did in the 7th edition is it said the major principle to follow is "respect for patient autonomy", not "above all, do no harm." Not always act in the patient's best interest. That's the third principle, you see.

So that reordering from the Standards of Care is that give the patient what they want as opposed to you, doctor, your job is to see to the patient's health, short term and long term health, and above all, do not put this person in harm's way. So how we negotiate, how doctors and psychologists negotiate the clash between these ethical principles is one of the things we're talking about here today but at the level of medical ethics.

Q So shifting to a new topic, you've mentioned the European countries, and I'd like to talk to you about the national reviews that have been conducted there and elsewhere. So can you explain generally what the national reviews are?

A Well, there generally is a standard when any medical topic is reviewed that up to 30 percent of people who are on the committee should work in the area and they're allowed to earn their living by working in that area, but 70 percent of these people -- of the people in the committee need to be from outside the field but have expertise in science and in studies and what we call methodologic studies or epidemiologic studies. So these national reviews meet these criteria where they have people who have expertise in reviewing the quality of science that is published.

Now, all publications are not created equally, you must understand scientifically. So the committees from Sweden and England and UK and Finland, and there's one just recently published from McMaster University in Canada, all these people are -- they meet these criteria of being methodologists and each of these independent reviews of puberty-blocking hormones and cross-sex hormones for minors have said that the quality of evidence was low or very low. Those are very scientifically well recognized designations for puberty-blocking hormones.

The designation is very low quality for cross-sex hormones, it's low quality, and both of those mean that scientifically the chances of the expected benefits will not be forthcoming. And each of those four reviews have said that we cannot guarantee based on the nature of science that the harms that these interventions will lead to don't outweigh the

benefits. That is, the benefits do not necessarily outweigh the harms.

Independent groups have reached the same conclusion. Even the Endocrine Society that advocates for the treatment of hormones have acknowledged that the quality of scientific evidence for puberty-blocking hormones' producing benefit is very low quality, and of cross-sex hormones are low quality. But nonetheless, they recommend that this is the center of treatment of trans -- ought to be the center of treatment of trans care. And so Sweden and the UK and Finland have all said it isn't true, that treatment of choice should be psychotherapeutic assessment and psychotherapeutic processes.

So the question is do we listen to science or do we listen to advocates. Do we listen to politics that come and go or do we listen to the grounded data that independent, scientifically credentialed people have concluded and generated. And I say if you pay attention as a doctor to the ethical uncertainties that you have, the qualms that you have, and then you pay attention to that and then you read the data that these people are producing, this is the best we have, then I think we ought to say do we believe in science or do we believe in politics.

- Q So how would one of these reviews of the literature compare to a single peer reviewed study?
- 25 A Well, the things that we're talking about are looking at

all the studies that meet criteria, reasonable criteria to be investigated. A peer review study, you know, I, for example, write a paper and I send it to you, you're the editor of the journal and you select up to three different people who are experts in the field and you give me feedback on my paper and then I change my paper or I don't change my paper and then the paper is accepted or it's rejected, that's individual paper. So these committees have taken all the papers in that particular subject area, those two subject areas, for example, and they've looked at them all and they've looked at them by people who are expert in this. Now, I just give you one example of one paper.

The American Journal of Psychiatry is one of the premier journals in my field. In 2019, they published on line a paper that looked at a Swedish experience with hormones and surgeries. And the American Journal of Psychiatry sent this paper to three different reviewers and the paper got accepted and it was published on line in 2019. Immediately, 12 different authors authoring seven different letters to the editor appeared in the journal's office saying this study's conclusion meaning that we should have a stronger policy that more people should have gender-affirming care including surgery, those 12 people said the data in this study do not support the conclusions.

So the editor of that journal then sent that journal to

two new independent separate from one another statisticians who then wrote back to the editor that the data -- the conclusions of that study have no relationship to the data that they presented, that it was spun in some way, you see, so the letter to the -- so the editor of that particular journal in August of 2020 had those original seven letters published, and the authors of the original paper wrote a retraction to the study.

So I give you this as an example because you asked what is the difference between the evaluation of an individual paper that is submitted which the editor then sends to, quote, experts, and if all the experts believe in affirmative care, they think this is a good paper, but when people who are much more oriented toward the science of it, that is, the data of it and the relationship between the data and the conclusions, what we get is a whole different conclusion.

And so we have a lot more respect for Sweden and Finland and UK's process of getting a committee of experts to look at all the studies on a particular topic and then rate the level of science. And the rating of the level of science says that the expected benefits that the advocates support may not be delivered, they're likely not to be realized, and that the harms seem to outweigh the benefits. That's the state of science 2022.

Q You mentioned that a peer reviewed paper may have three reviewers. Would the national reviews have three reviewers?

- 1 A No. They would have more.
- 2 Q Would you have any response to the claim that the changes
- 3 | that have been made in Europe as a response to these reviews
- 4 | bring the treatment there more in alignment with treatment in
- 5 | the United States?
- 6 A I think I misheard your question.
- 7 Q Let me ask it again. So would you have any response to
- 8 | the claim -- so there's a claim that changes in Europe in
- 9 response to these reviews that have been done actually bring
- 10 | treatment there more in alignment with treatment as it's done
- 11 in the United States. Would you have any response?
- 12 A It's quite the opposite. It's quite the opposite.
- 13 United States seems to be -- in the last five, six years, we've
- 14 gone from a handful of gender clinics to over 70 clinics in the
- 15 United States delivering affirmative care. Most of these
- 16 clinics have their names -- have affirmative care in their
- 17 names. They're pro hormones and pro getting people into
- 18 surgery. We've had a rapid explosion because we've had a rapid
- 19 incidence of people requesting services all over the United
- 20 States. Those services are not psychotherapeutic even though
- 21 they say they do psychiatric evaluations. I've had countless
- parents coming to me telling me that they took their kid to one
- of these places and in an hour or two, they were recommending
- hormones, you see.
- So what Europe is saying, at least those four places in

Europe is saying is please wait, wait, wait, this is a psychiatric problem, this is not a, quote, medical genetically derived problem. This is a psychosocial developmental problem. It should be treated with psychotherapeutic evaluation and care and thoughtful care, not quick to the endocrinologist, not quick to the breast surgeon, just because a child thinks in a certain way.

So it's not that the United States is in tune with Europe, it's been in tune with Holland and the Dutch studies. Which I don't know if you'll ask me about those, the Dutch studies are the two studies that the Standards of Care and the Endocrine Society base their affirmative care on, and those studies have a lot of methodologic problems. So no, the answer to your question as far as I can see is United States is going the opposite direction than where Europe that started this process is going, opposite.

Q Let me ask you briefly about each of these reviews. First look at the UK. Can you tell me about the reviews that have taken place there?

A Well, they've been in a series. First there was a study that tried to replicate the Dutch studies that failed to replicate the finding of psychological improvement, and then the National Health Service had a preliminary review. And then just recently months ago, they decided to restructure the way they delivered trans care in the United Kingdom. And there

used to be two clinics, the Portman Clinic and Tavistock
Clinic, that saw all those cases. Those are the clinics from
which the data we talked about before where they were small to
rising incidence of trans people were demonstrated.

It was very apparent that those people could not handle all the requests and that they were -- they had too long a wait list and so the UK then decided that we should not centralize this, we should decentralize this, and people should in their communities get care that begins with assessment and psychotherapy. So the Portman Clinic and the Tavistock Clinic as of March 2023 will no longer exist, or at least their gender identity service will not exist any longer. And so UK has backed off of assessment, hormones, surgery.

- Q What can you tell us about the UK's conclusions concerning their review of the safety and efficacy of medical treatments for gender dysphoria on minors?
- A Actually not to repeat, but it's just what I previously said, that the low quality of evidence and the concern with the unexplained rapid increase in the incidence of this problem and the fact that there are now appearing from the UK evidence of detransition of kids who are put on hormones in their teenage years and who have had their breasts removed.
- Q Can you tell me about the relationship between the reviews that we've discussed in UK and the Cass Review?
- 25 A That is the Cass. They're the same.

Q Okay. Then moving to Sweden, can you tell us about the
review that took place there and their conclusions?
A Well, it's going to be a little repetitive, but Sweden
said that while we could go ahead and give hormones to
adolescents, they only can be given in a predesigned research
protocol that gave science a chance of getting the answer to
the question that just because someone was transgender
identified in youth does not mean that they have access to
this. They should have access to psychiatric care first, but
they recognized that there are families who believed that this
is the best treatment for their child, but those people need
they can only get those treatments if it's part of a research
protocol. They didn't specify what the elements of that
research protocol would be, but they just said it would be a
research protocol. And the research protocol was I think is
going to be done at the Karolinska Institute, which is we
Americans know that as sort of the home of the Nobel Peace
Prize. The Nobel Prize. It's not just peace prize.
So Swodon like Finland and like UK have evaluated the

So Sweden, like Finland and like UK, have evaluated the evidence and they all say let's pause about this. Some of them said let's not pause completely but let's pause in general and if we can't do it scientifically, we can't do it at all. And that's a very powerful thing. You see, the big question is, ethical question, we can feminize bodies and masculinize bodies. The issue is, should we. And we say the question is a

scientific question. And it depends upon the outcome.

And what we've done is that we've done the feminization and masculinization of bodies, but we haven't found out what the outcomes are, and we are saying full speed ahead in America with the unknown outcomes. So I go back to the question, clearly we can masculinize and feminize bodies. The issue is, is there scientific justification for doing this. And in order to answer that question, we have to define the parameters that we will use to justify doing it or not justify doing it. And we haven't defined even the parameters, so this looms over all of us. Should we, are we harming people, are we helping people. And people here are not just the patients themselves but the people connected to those patients. Which we generally don't discuss in this field.

Q Let me also ask you about Finland. And thank you for being aware and not being repetitive, but I do want to ask you about Finland and your awareness of the review and what has taken place there.

A Well, Finland, I think after Sweden said -- made statements like the brain is known to develop into its most mature form by mid 20s and in general, people do not have the cognitive decision-making process, the ability to think clearly about life changing events until that age, and so in general, they recommended that we wait to give any hormones to patients who are transgender identified until about that age, I think

they said 26 originally, 26. After that, they said whether the person can make a good judgment or not, they certainly, they're developed as best they're going to develop, so it's up to them whatever they do.

But the state of Finland is still responsible for people less than maturity, cognitive maturity. They also said, I believe, that under certain circumstances, it might be acceptable, but that needed to be run by a panel of experts, but in general, Finland said, whoa, we have followed the Dutch protocol and our impression of the outcomes in our Finnish population is not very positive. Let's put a stop to this.

Q Can you explain how the United Kingdom, Sweden, and Finland are better situated than we are here in the United States due to the fact that there is a national health system in those countries?

A Yes. We'll just take Sweden for example, is that every medical encounter, every judicial encounter, every automobile accident gets reported to a central databank, so when scientists want to mine the data, they know everyone who's been in an emergency room with a suicide attempt who's trans, they have data about that. Everyone who's in a psychiatric hospital, they know about that. Every suicide, they know about, at least what's on the death certificate, you see. So it is possible.

This is the basis of a classic study that was published

in 2011 that showed that the suicide rate in post operative people was 19.1 times greater than two control groups of Swedish people, you see. And it showed that the suicide rate specifically among trans males was 40 times higher. And it took about ten years for this data to develop. And they also showed that the death rates from cancer and heart disease were higher, and the number of automobile accidents was higher, and the number of criminal charges were higher. They showed in 2011 that based on everyone who had sex reassignment surgery over a 30-year period, this was the data, it was mined from what you were talking about from the national collections of data.

And the study I just mentioned to you from the American Journal of Psychiatry also came from that data, you see. So Sweden and Finland and Denmark and the UK, they have access to data mining. We call it data mining of big data that we don't have in the United States, we don't even have in Arkansas, in Ohio, and Pennsylvania. The only data we have are through insurance companies, and those are often -- United Healthcare does all the states or many of the states. We have Medicare, but most of the kids aren't on Medicare that we're talking about. Some of them are on Medicaid.

Anyway, we in America, we do not have the opportunities to collect data that your smaller European countries have. And that's why most of the studies are coming from Europe, they're

not coming from the United States.

- Q I also wanted to ask you about what has taken place in France. Can you talk about the statement from France?
- A I don't think France has had an independent review in the same way that the other countries have had. I'm not certain about this, Mr. Cantrell. But I know France has issued a statement of caution of recommendation of psychotherapy or psychiatric assessment and therapy as the first approach to this and not hormones. But I'm not aware of a French blue ribbon committee of scientists. I have a feeling it was based upon the work of other countries, but I'm not certain.
- Q So we've looked at the various reviews and talked about various reviews. I want to talk a little bit about the studies that have been done or could be done to increase the quality of evidence, specifically controlled studies, and I'd like to ask you whether controlled studies could ethically be done in this area.
- A This is an area of controversy. Many people think it's impossible to ethically do controlled studies because everyone knows that hormones and surgery are the best treatment for trans teens. This concept that you can't do a controlled study because you don't have what we call in science equipoise, that is, we don't have a state of understanding that we don't know what the best treatment approach is. If the doctors who do affirmative care believe as they do this is the best approach,

they can't ethically inform people, they can't get people to enter into a placebo controlled or a psychotherapy versus medication controlled trial, you see.

But if you understand the science, you know that the affirmative care doctors need to recognize that they don't know. They've been doing this by fashion, they've been doing this because they were told this is the treatment of choice, they were told science has already established this, and, therefore, equipoise can be done, but even if we don't do a controlled study, if we do a controlled study, we need to ask ourselves what controlled groups would we use. Would we use affirmative care as we've already decided versus psychotherapy only versus nothing, just letting development happen versus merely supportive therapy.

I think that if we could convince scientists that we don't know the right answer and then those people can convince the parents and the child that we don't know the right answer and they have to submit to let's say we're going to use three groups, we're going to randomly assign you to three groups and we're going to evaluate you at these time intervals using these methods, then in five years and in ten years, we will not have this kind of debate in the courtroom, we'll have the answer, you see. And maybe it may very well be that the kids who get affirmative care will be far superior in their psychological developmental outcomes than the kids who get psychotherapy, but

it could be quite the opposite and it could be that the third group for no treatment at all, most of them have desisted and have gone on with their lives and those people did equal to or better than either of the other two. We don't know.

The point is we don't know and, therefore, we can't have equipoise. We can't have a multisite. And I say because we need large numbers, it has to be not just people in Arkansas, it has to be multisite with protocol that has been decided in advance, not in retrospect. And we have to commit to following every one of those people in each group. That's how science is done, prospective science is done. That's expensive. That's difficult. But it can be done.

Now, the other if you can't do a controlled study, then you have to decide to do what we call a large group cohort study where there's no control but everyone who enters into the protocol like everyone who seeks assessment has to be followed, not just the ones who got treatment, but the ones who didn't get treatment, the ones who dropped out of treatment, we need to know what happens to these people, but we can't do it if we just take the people who continue in the treatment and don't study the drop-outs from the treatment. And we need to include the death rates of these groups. All these studies would have death rates as one of the parameters, the secondary outcomes that we study.

So when we do those kind of scientific studies, we

designate a primary set of outcomes. These are the most important parameters we're going to study and these are the secondary or the least important or the less important ones. And what we really want to see is that the primary and the secondary outcomes match. We don't know the answer, you see. And I think to go back to what I said before, Mr. Cantrell and Your Honor, we're all interested in maximizing the outcomes of these kids, giving them the potential to develop fully without obstacles.

We have to admit we don't know really which is best, but

We have to admit we don't know really which is best, but we are saying given the fact that psychiatry has existed for over 150 years and that we treat all other developmental problems in our field with these processes of assessment and treatment with or without medications and not hormonal medications but other medications, we ought to treat trans people like they're like the rest of us. They deserve thoughtful, compassionate, scientifically informed care.

Q Let me --

THE COURT: We're going to break for lunch before you ask him your next question. We're going to break till 1:00, so court will be in recess till then.

(Recess at 11:59 AM.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
/s/ Karen Dellinger, RDR, CRR, CCR

United States Court Reporter

Date: December 3, 2022

- 1 (Proceedings commencing in open court at 1:03 p.m.)
  2 BY MR. CANTRELL:
- 3 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Levine.
- 4 A. Good afternoon again.
- Q. I wanted to follow up with a couple of questions
   related to what we were talking about before lunch.

First of all, I wanted to ask you about follow-up of patients here in the United States. Can you tell us whether -- is there any uniform standards of following patients after medical procedures for gender dysphoria in the United States?

12 A. Historically, we've asked patients that we've taken

13 care of to give us follow-up and to come tell us how

14 they're doing on an annual basis. That almost never

happens. I am -- I've read recommendations -- in fact, we

put it in the Standards of Care in 1999 that people should

17 be followed up extensively so that we could see the

outcomes. And as far as I can see, this has not happened.

19 And neither the Swedes or the Finns comment in their

20 report that, in 2015 in their previous review, when people

21 | -- when they asked for follow-ups from the people who were

delivering the care, it was very apparent five years later

that they did not have systematic follow-ups.

24 If you're a surgical patient, the surgeon is done 25 with you when you're healed and you're functional. Whe

you're an endocrine patient, depending on the age of which you specialize, you may follow a patient for the rest of their lives or until they stop taking hormones or you pass them on to an adult. So the follow-up is not consistent.

As we spoke earlier today, there's no central record keeping in the United States so that we could retrospectively look and see what happened to a cohort or a group of people that were given hormones or surgery or transition services, whatever.

So although it would be ideal that we would have follow-up, I would say that 70 years after we -- after the medical profession has been involved in the trans -- in trans care, we really don't have excellent follow-up studies of any of our interventions. Although, there are hundreds of follow-up studies, they're not excellent, they're not of high quality, they have very different durations of follow-up and different means of following up. And it's not what we would do if we did a scientifically predesigned study where we would designate how we're going to measure it and when we're going to measure it and when we're going to measure it and, as I said before, what would be the most important or primary measurement outcomes and what would be the secondary outcomes.

We're flying -- I wouldn't say we're flying blind because all of us who take care of patients have clinical

- exposure to individual patients, but loss to follow-up is typically in the 50 to 70 percent range in any study.
  - Q. What is the -- what are some of the negative consequences of such a high loss to follow-up?

A. You don't know if people are happy or miserable or detransitioned or made suicide attempts or got admitted to a psychiatric hospital or gotten married and --

THE COURT: Doctor, can you slow down a little bit? My court reporter is trying to take down everything you say. So continue. You just got on a roll there for a minute.

THE WITNESS: It's me, Your Honor.

So when we don't know what happened, we don't know what happened in a positive way and we don't know what happened in a negative way and we don't know what happened in a medical way, we don't know what happened in vocational way, we don't know what happened in a social way, and we certainly don't know about the subjective aspect of how the patient experiences his or her gender at the moment and how that has evolved.

These are all reasonable questions, but after 70 years we don't have the answers. And I don't think that, given the fact that we don't have the answers, we should assume it's all positive or it's all negative.

Knowing what we know about other human beings, we

- 1 | should assume it's probably a mixture. Some do well
- 2 reasonably. Some do disastrous. Some do not so well and
- 3 | not so bad. So very positive, very negative mix. And I
- 4 | would expect, just speculating, mixed would be the most
- 5 common one.
- 6 BY MR. CANTRELL:
- 7 Q. I want to talk to you about the Dutch studies. Can
- 8 | you tell us what the Dutch studies are and indicate how
- 9 they've been used and --
- 10 A. The Dutch have had a group of researcher -- clinician
- 11 researchers for many, many years and have done many
- 12 | studies. Generally, they were the first and most
- 13 respected researchers in the field. When we refer to the
- 14 Dutch studies today and when WPATH refers to the Dutch
- 15 studies and when the Endocrine Society refers to the Dutch
- 16 | studies, they're referring to a study of -- that was
- 17 | published in 2011 and a follow-up study that was published
- 18 | in 2014.
- 19 The first study was of the results of puberty
- 20 blocking, and the second study, the 2014 study, was the
- 21 results of the whole sequence of puberty blocking,
- 22 cross-sex hormone, surgery, and then between one and one
- 23 and a half years of follow-up of evaluation. So those are
- 24 the two studies that generally most references to the
- 25 Dutch group.

Q.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dutch study.

Can you talk about how those studies have been used

2	and whether that use is appropriate?
3	A. Well, when the 2014 study showed that the children
4	the 55 children who had undergone surgery and were
5	followed for up to a year and a half were thought to be
6	positive outcomes and that they had, quote, no gender
7	dysphoria any longer and were doing pretty well compared
8	to the general sense of what the Dutch community at that
9	time was, at that age group. That got scaled across the
10	world as evidence that trans-affirmative care is the best
11	treatment for trans-identified children. And that's when
12	we had an explosion starting in 2014 and '15 of the number

of people seeking care and the gradual beginning of

cross-gender clinics in the United States and elsewhere

for these people. This is the scientific basis of the

explosion of trans care in the United States, the 2014

So you should know that in the original study there were 197 people and I think 111 were thought to be mentally reasonable enough to offer them cross -- puberty blocking hormones. And 70 of them, actually, the families agreed to be in the study. And then the 2014 study only had a follow-up on 55 of the 70. The 15 dropouts of the study included one death from surgery, had an infection, complication that killed the person. And several dropped

- 1 out because of obesity and the development of diabetes.
- 2 And I think 11 of them -- among the 11, if I haven't
- 3 | summarized, many of them just refused follow-up and
- 4 there's been no understanding of why they dropped out.
- So you need to understand the scientific basis of the scaling up of transgender care, affirmative medical and restrictive care is the basis of one uncontrolled study
- 8 done in Holland that was finished in 2014.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- There's an attempt today to do a follow-up of those people that was just reported in a national meeting, and they couldn't find 50 percent of the people. So that's -- so we don't know what the long-term follow-up would be.
- Obviously, culture is interested. Everyone is interested in what happened to those 55 people or, shall I say, the 69 people who are still alive during the course of -- from 2014 to today. We don't know what happened to those people.
- So the studies are -- they were widely embraced. And today -- and I think it's only today that researchers are aware of the limitations of that study, and there are many.
- 22 Q. Can you tell us a little more specifically about the 23 limitations?
- A. Well, number one, there was no control group. Number two, only -- when I gave you those numbers, 197 or

whatever number -- maybe it was 197 to 111, the differences were people who were thought to be not mentally healthy enough or families not stable enough to even consider giving them puberty blocking hormones. Then when we went from 111 to 70, both the families generally didn't think this was a good idea. So -- so number one.

Number two rather, they're not -- number one, it's not a controlled study, so all these things could have happened for reasons other than affirmative care.

They selected the healthiest of the children and the healthiest of the families. So when you demonstrate, you start with health and then you end up in health, and you can't really say that the health that you see at the end of the study is due to the fact that they had care.

So today we don't -- we don't insist that people not have psychopathology. And so the idea that taking a group -- a study that was based on the absence of medical health problems and applying it to everyone who comes doesn't make sense.

And even the authors of the study have said two years ago that it's a little frightening that the world has embraced their research, which was about children who were cross-gender identifying from preschool and early school and who got worse during puberty with their gender dysphoria who were still healthy except for being

depressed over their gender dysphoria, and then applying that study to those people to anyone didn't make any sense. And the authors of the study said that. It's not Dr. Levine who's saying that, you see. So that's one of the problems with the study.

The other problem with the study is the Dutch made sure that those kids had a lot of support and they dealt with both their parents and the kids with whatever issues were coming up in the families. So the Dutch concluded that affirmative care was good for transgendered youth, but, of course, they were giving two treatments at once. They were giving psychotherapeutic treatment at the same time they were giving medical treatment.

But, you see, that's not -- that's not is what is promulgated to the world. What is promulgated to the world is that hormones, social change, and surgery is what creates these well-adjusted children.

The other limitation about this is that most of the parameters of mental health that they measured were not changed from the early measures. They didn't change at all. And the ones that -- the psychological measures were only available on 32 of the 55 people. So the generalizations that the world has taken that this is scientifically proven is based on things that the people who believe that, they don't even know about what was in

that study, you see. So today we appreciate that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And these other people who have reviewed this recognize that the databases for this kind of treatment is very limited. There was an attempt in England to replicate the study. It failed to it. They took anyone who -- they took anyone who was gender identifying and gave them the treatment, and they could not demonstrate any psychological benefit.

So the other problem with the study, which I'm going to have trouble articulating so that everyone understands, is that the claim that there was no gender dysphoria one and a half years after the last surgery was based upon one questionnaire. And the questionnaire was given -- say, if you were biologic male, there were questions about how -your sense of your maleness. Then when you were done and you were a female, you were given the questionnaire for the females. And so -- so by switching the questionnaires, which has been a very big contentious matter, even deVries, who is the senior author of the paper, recognizes there was a limitation in the questionnaire. And now they've devised a different questionnaire that is more -- that is not sex oriented or, you know, biologic sex oriented.

So all of these things combine, the lack of control, the concomitant use of psychotherapy, selection bias for

- the people were -- and families who were very healthy and supportive, you see, all of these things enter and make -- do not apply to the average -- may be a presumption -- the average person in Arkansas who is applying for help for gender dysphoria who may be of a range of mental health capacities and abilities and so forth and have a range of families -- of family circumstances.
- 8 So listen, all science, no matter the study, has 9 limitations. Many of those limitations are not 10 appreciated for several years. It takes time to 11 appreciate the strengths and the limitations of study. 12 And in science, we don't rely on any one study. 13 on studies done from different perspectives, all coming 14 together on a particular point. But see, in this field, 15 one study -- one study that had two parts has become the 16 sole foundation for the justification of a worldwide 17 phenomena.
  - Q. So let me follow up about the gender dysphoria scale. Can you explain -- so you said there was a scale -- one gender dysphoria scale for males, one gender dysphoria scale for females. Can you explain more specifically how patients were evaluated at baseline and then subsequently --

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. They use the same scale. The scale was based on biologic sex and based on -- at follow-up, the scale was

on the new -- they assumed they were a new gender, a new -- in this case, we use the word synonymously, gender and sex.

On the scale that I -- on the first scale, I hate it when people refer to me as a boy. And then on a score of one to five, yes, I have five. And then when you take the female scale and you say, I hate it when people refer to me as a girl. And there is -- it's not a five, it's a one, you see. And, therefore, when they add up all of those kind of 12 different questions, when they add up all of those things, it looks like there is no gender dysphoria.

- 13 Q. I want to talk to you now about informed consent.
- 14 Dr. Levine, you've written about informed consent. Can
- 15 | you tell the Court what that is and what procedures
- 16 | informed consent is required for?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 17 A. Informed consent is both a legal and a ethical --
- 18 | it's a legal obligation of the provider and it's an
- 19 ethical obligation within the medical and psychological
- 20 association. It is to recognize the nature of the problem
- 21 | that's being treated, the alternative -- the treatment
- 22 recommendations and the alternative treatment and the
- 23 benefits expected from the treatment and the harms or the
- 24 negative consequences of the treatment both in the short
- 25 | term and in the long term.

So it also asks the doctor to tell the parents and the child about what is uncertain about the treatment.

So everything that we've been talking about this morning and since we resumed this afternoon is related to the informed consent process.

Children are not able to legally consent, but -- so their parents have to consent. And I contend -- and I should say we contend and so do the Dutch contend that the informed consent begins in the process of a psychiatric evaluation and it extends in the process of getting to know the family and getting to know the patient in great detail. So informed consent is not like going to the hospital with pneumonia where you get an IV and antibiotics and we don't have you sign anything. We just assume -- informed consent is assumed and not required to be written down in a legal way. But, clearly, the administration of cross-gender hormone or puberty-blocking hormones or the removal of healthy tissue requires a written informed consent.

But my point, and not just my point, other people believe that informed consent should be a process and not signified by, here's a three-page paper with all the risks, sign here, which I see I've seen done.

When I had surgery a few years ago, that's how my informed consent was. It was done 20 minutes before I was

anesthetized. But I understand that's how things work in the surgical thing. But here we're talking about transforming the future of a child, and informed content is not just making a diagnosis and asking the patient if they want hormones. Informed consent is a process between the provider and the parents and the child about the benefits and the risks and the uncertainties, you see, what is known and what is not known.

Now, what is not known is so vital here. Youth think, a 14-year old, that taking hormones will make you live happily ever after. But we doctors need to understand what is the basis of happily ever after. And when we don't have a basis for it, when we have an awareness of, for example, the elevated suicide rates in Sweden of after all of these interventions, we feel like informed consent -- somebody in the family needs to know about these things.

So we're not saying informed consent prevents going ahead in America with these treatments. We think it's a prerequisite for going ahead when the appropriate people, the parents, with the assent -- not the consent, but the assent of the child and the physician, they can go ahead, but they have to have informed consent.

When informed consent is perfunctory or abbreviated -- so abbreviated that there is no real true information

- 1 or appreciation, you see. What does a parent need to
- 2 think about when we're doing something that's going to
- 3 | sterilize their child? They need to think about that.
- 4 they need to think about that for their child. They need
- 5 to think about that for themselves. They need to think
- 6 about that for their family. That doesn't mean they can't
- 7 go ahead. It just means they need to think about it.
- 8 | They're responsible for making the decision, and it's a
- 9 | weighty decision. And all of us agree it's a weighty
- 10 decision. We believe informed consent needs to bring the
- 11 | weight, the seriousness of the moment, to people's
- 12 awareness and not do it naively.
- 13 Q. So does proper informed consent require multiple
- 14 sessions over time?
- 15 A. Yes. Yes. It's a process. It's not an event.
- 16 Q. Can you tell us how -- how the ethical principle "do
- 17 | no harm" plays in to the informed consent process?
- 18 A. Please repeat that question.
- 19 Q. Can you tell us how the ethical principle of "do no
- 20 | harm" -- "first, do no harm" plays into the informed
- 21 | consent process?
- 22 A. Yes. Because of the uncertainties of the long-term
- 23 outcome and the evidence of the harms or the problems that
- 24 adult trans communities have, it is ethically required
- 25 | because of, "above all, do no" harm, which is the primary

medical ethical principle. It's important to share that with the parents.

You're putting this child -- now your child may be different. Your child might be bright or may be more charming, may be much more natural in his cross-gender feelings and expressions and behaviors. This may not happen to your child. But if we look at the general group of people, these harms need to be appreciated.

- Q. Is there any relationship between age of sterilization and incidents of regret?
- 11 A. Sterilization used to mean basically tying the tubes
- 12 of young teenagers and people who were older teenagers.
- 13 And the obstetricians published several studies, maybe ten
- 14 years ago, showing that the younger the sterilization of
- women took place, the greater the subsequent incidents of
- 16 regret. So that it was different if you're tying the
- 17 tubes of a 43-year old mother who's had three children and
- 18 tying the tubes of a 17-year old who says, I don't want to
- 19 have children.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 20 Q. Is there an established standard among practitioners
- 21 for the process of obtaining informed consent for minors?
- 22 A. I don't think so. I think it varies from clinic to
- 23 clinic. I may vary from clinician to clinician, from
- 24 hospital to hospital, from state to state, country to
- 25 country. We actually think that would be great in the

- future if an international committee would create such a document.
  - Q. So earlier we talked about the increased rates of transgender identification among youth. Has this increase -- has this increase created pressure on practitioners to
- 6 rapidly evaluate youth and make recommendations?

A. All doctors are concerned today about the rapidity with which they're forced to see patients. Efficiency is the new corporate standard in medical care. So here I am talking about a relationship between a family and a patient and a doctor or a team of doctors that require time and consideration by -- appropriate consideration. There are many forces available in culture -- extent in culture today acting on the medical profession to increase

their efficiency, to decrease the amount of time we spend with patients. This is a little antithetical to informed consent.

If you have a lot of patient to see, you don't have a lot of time to spend with patients, and developing this relationship is impossible. And it's not impossible because of the doctor personally; it's impossible because of the system in which the doctors operate. They pressure them terribly to be efficient. And in gender dysphoria, I think requires a thoughtful, slow, deliberative,

assessment process and therapeutic relationship process.

- 1 And, unfortunately, the numbers of people applying for
- 2 care to these new clinics and the number -- the small
- 3 | numbers of staff and the absence of experienced
- 4 psychiatrics often forces -- makes all of this stuff -- I
- 5 use the term high through-put, rapidly processing people,
- 6 using -- getting higher numbers of people through,
- 7 generating lots of mastectomy for young women.
- 8 THE COURT: Doctor, are you referring to
- 9 Arkansas statistics or nationwide?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Nationwide.
- 11 BY MR. CANTRELL:
- 12 Q. Dr. Levine, can you tell us what the informed consent
- 13 | model of care is and how that differs from informed
- 14 | consent as you're discussing it now?
- 15 A. It's so interesting to me. In this field, there are
- 16 euphemisms. There are nice ways of saying things that are
- 17 | not quite true. For example -- I'm going to get to your
- 18 lanswer.
- 19 For example, we don't talk about mastectomy in trans
- 20 care; we talk about top surgery. We don't talk about
- 21 penectomies and testicular removal; we talk about bottom
- 22 | surgery, you see.
- 23 So in the 7th Edition of trans -- of the WPATH
- 24 | Standards of Care, they talk about informed consent model.
- 25 | That sound wonderful. What does that mean? Never

defined. And on that basis, when we took out the mental health professional as a requirement, the gatekeeper -- when we got rid of the gatekeeper and we had informed consent model, what that meant is autonomy was more important that this, "above all, do no harm."

So as long as the patient made the diagnosis -- was given the diagnosis and the patient wanted it, whether the parents were involved or not, the patient had -- was informed about the benefits and the risks, and that's the informed consent model. And that Dr. Levine and colleagues and others are saying, including the Dutch themselves, that is not informed consent. Informed consent is not a perfunctory signing of the document. It is a process.

But you see that's the euphemism, the informed consent model. We followed the informed consent model. It really is based upon autonomy versus -- most important.

Q. So we talked about desistance. I want to talk to you briefly about detransition. And can you tell us what detransition is and what the evidence is concerning the rate of detransition among patients seeking treatment?

A. Well, for a very long time, detransition or regret was used sometimes synonymously. And post surgically throughout the world, the advocates of care said the regret rate was one to two percent most.

Detransition, to answer your question, in some sense means, I lived as the opposite gender for a time and I've decided this is not for me any longer and I'm going to return to live in a world that's more constant -- or congruent with my biologic sex. That is detransition.

So, you know, my patients who lived as a woman, presented themselves as a woman, taking the hormones and then stopped taking the hormones and returned to living as a male are detransitioned. But if you have a very strong identification with -- say, you're a male and you -- as a teenager, you have a very strong identification as a female and you live as a female and you're insisting that you're a woman, I am a woman, and then ten years pass and then you recognize, well, there's strong pieces of me that's a man, I'm a man and I'm a woman. So your label for yourself is not trans sexual anymore. You relatively detransitioned to, well, I'm both or I'm neither or I'm a special case.

That's generally not called transitioning -detransitioning but that happens in the evolution over
time of the sense of self as one -- as one confronts
timing and life's issues, you see.

But detransition generally refers to people who are on hormones who stop taking hormones and who were living in the opposite gender role and who returned to letting

their hair grow longer, for example, if they're a biologic female and dressing more either neutrally or as a woman more feminine.

So it has different meanings and it's sometimes confused with regret, detransition. And many people who regret what they did are trapped in what they've done and there's -- so they don't detransition, but they live however they can and -- but if you ask them about their current gender identity, it's different than their gender identity at age 17.

If you ask any 17-year-old how they think about themselves and then ask them 30 years later how they think about themselves, we will smile and say, well, we've evolved as people. As I said before, trans people are basic human people. And all the things that are true about the evolution of our sense of self for humans include human trans people. It too evolves. And so detransition can be extreme to return or it can be more modest and a return toward but not completely.

We don't know the rates of the latter. About the rates of the former, there's just been three studies published in the last year and a half, two years that have different rates up to 30 percent in 16 months or five years. More studies are going to be appearing I expect in the next five years. We had this -- we -- five years from

- 1 now, I -- I can give you much more -- I hope I can give
- 2 you much more data on that, more information.
- 3 Q. Based on the data that we have, does there appear to
- 4 be any movement in the rate of detransition?
- 5 A. Yes.
- $6 \mid Q$ . What is that?
- 7 A. It's increasing I think, both anecdotally and in
- 8 terms of systematic looks at that. And, you know, the
- 9 | much maligned work of Lisa Littman, much maligned by the
- 10 affirmative care people. She interviewed a hundred
- 11 detransitioners and found some very important information
- 12 | in that people felt they had an inadequate psychiatric
- 13 evaluation, an inadequate process, and no one was
- 14 interested in what happened to them in a negative way when
- 15 they were children. They now see that their trans
- 16 | identity was an escape from even thinking about the events
- 17 | that happened to them as children.
- 18 In general, you didn't just -- one more thing. In
- 19 the first edition of the Handbook of Clinical Sexuality
- 20 | for Mental Health Professionals, which I edited, I had
- 21 | Freeman Faflin (phonetic spelling) who was an affirmative
- 22 | care psychiatrist from Germany, write a chapter on
- 23 transgender phenomenon. This was in 2004.
- He wrote about a patient that who subsequently became
- 25 | a psychiatrist and who was his patient when he

transitioned -- when she transitioned to male. And then when the training was finished and the patient came back to Dr. Freeman Faflin, the patient said, I could not tell you or I could not tell myself about my sexual abuse as a child. I think that, if I knew that, if I would have talked about that, I probably would not have transitioned. That was in 2004 and that was in a textbook.

So we were -- Dr. Faflin was recognizing in 2004 the possibility of that kind of detransition or that kind of regret.

You need to understand, Dr. Faflin in 1991 published a study that was a review of all of the surgical outcome data. And in that study in 1991, it was used to promulgate sex reassignment surgery, even though I think more than half the people were lost to follow-up in the studies that he reviewed.

So we all -- we've always been aware of this problem intuitively. There's always been evidence of it. There's always been concerned, and yet the field in the United States has just ignored all of our concerns. And so we practice now based on fashion and not based on science.

MR. CANTRELL: Your Honor, may I have one moment?

THE COURT: Sure.

25 BY MR. CANTRELL:

1 Q. Dr. Levine, just one final question. Can you -- can 2 you tell the Court why, in your opinion, states across the 3 nation have felt the need to enact laws like the SAFE Act 4 in relation to the medical profession and what has taken 5 place in the past ten or so years here in the United 6 States? 7 MS. COOPER: Objection. Foundation to be giving 8 opinions about the basis for other states' legislation. 9 MR. CANTRELL: Your Honor --10 MS. COOPER: -- speculation. 11 CANTRELL: -- Dr. Levine is an expert and MR. he's an observer of the field and I think --12 13 I'm not sure why it's relevant, but THE COURT: 14 I'm going to let him give his opinion. 15 THE WITNESS: Well --16 THE COURT: Are you asking this witness to give 17 the legislative intent for states across the nation? 18 No, Your Honor. MR. CANTRELL: 19 THE COURT: That's how I read your question. Ιt 20 Can you tell the Court why, in your opinion, states says: 21 across the nation have felt the need to enact these laws. 22 MR. CANTRELL: I'm asking Dr. Levine to talk 23 about the medical profession and --24 THE COURT: That's a different question, Mr.

Cantrell. You're asking this witness to tell me why

```
legislators across the nation have decided to pass laws.
2
             MR. CANTRELL:
                            Okay. Let me --
3
             THE COURT: I'm reading your question off the
4
    real-time so.
5
             MR. CANTRELL: I would like to rephrase the
6
    question.
7
             THE COURT: That would be great.
8
             MR. CANTRELL:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
9
    BY MR. CANTRELL:
10
        Dr. Levine, based on your expertise and your
11
    awareness of the practices that have been put into effect
12
    over the last ten to 15 years here in the United States,
13
    is it -- is it any surprise to you that -- that states
14
    have sought a need to enact laws such as the SAFE Act?
15
        Mr. Cantrell and the Court, you don't know this, but
16
    I'm a bit of a cynic about political things, and I notice
17
    that the 16 or 17 states, including Ohio, that is
18
    considering limiting doctors' ability to practice medicine
19
    as determined by the medical profession. It seems that
20
    it's a kind of a Republican talking points these days
21
    versus a liberal democratic talking point.
                                                 For example, I
22
    do some work in Massachusetts, and they are very liberal
23
    about this, they're very affirmative about this.
24
        And so I believe in a larger sense, beyond the
25
    politics about this, that there is a kind of profound
```

worry about what we're doing to children and to their families, and that certain states are much more sensitive to this because they tend to be much more religious in their basis and in their beliefs. And there is a -- see, I as a physician, talk about the ethics in its relationship to science. But people who aren't in the medicine but who have strong feelings about this talk about this in terms of God and God made man and woman and they shouldn't -- doctors shouldn't play around in that area. So I think there is an element of religious values being played out in various state legislators as well.

So when we look at this phenomenon as a social phenomenon in a critical way, I think we need to think about the cultural values that are represented through state legislatures and the feeling that COVID, for example, brought all of this skepticism about science, the science of vaccination and the science of masking. And so many people have developed a new skeptical, non -- untrusting view of the institutions that medicine represents, including public health authorities, including what the doctor says are recommended treatments.

So I think earlier this morning you asked me to talk about the big social forces. This belongs -- your question belongs to the big social forces that incorporate religious values and political values. And there are

- different -- obviously, there are different political
  values just like there are different religious values, and
  these are reflected somehow in ways I don't understand
  through legislative bills that are being debated and
  passed in various states.
  - Q. Let me ask you this. So is it necessary for a person to have specifically religious values in order to oppose gender transition?

THE COURT: We're way far afield, Mr. Cantrell.

MR. CANTRELL: Your Honor, I anticipate that

plaintiffs will attempt to use religious issues with

respect to --

THE COURT: If and when they do, we'll deal with that. But this witness is not the one that's going to talk about whether or not people can or can't oppose in a general sense transgender treatment. That's not what he's here to testify to.

MR. CANTRELL: Dr. Levine has a scientific view and I believe that his testimony is that if --

THE COURT: That's not what you asked again, Mr. Cantrell. I'm ruling on what your questions are. You asked him, would somebody have to have a religious view to oppose this. He's not here to testify about what the average Joe may decide or the average legislator may decide about transgender treatment. That's not what he's

- 1 here to tell me about to help me with this case.
- 2 MR. CANTRELL: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to
- 3 take it back to the science if -- if Your Honor will
- 4 allow.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Let's get there.
- 6 MR. CANTRELL: Okay.
- 7 BY MR. CANTRELL:
- 8 Q. Dr. Levine, the views that you've expressed this
- 9 morning, are -- are they based on science or nonscientific
- 10 | consideration?
- 11 A. I hope my views are based upon the combination of
- 12 | review of the scientific literature and my own clinical
- 13 experience over 50 years.
- 14 Q. And so you would not -- you would not say that --
- 15 | well, let me just pause.
- 16 MR. CANTRELL: If I may have one moment, Your
- 17 | Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. CANTRELL: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
- 20 CROSS-FXAMINATION
- 21 BY MS. COOPER:
- 22 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Levine. I'm Leslie Cooper.
- 23 | We've met. I'm with the ACLU.
- Dr. Levine, you're aware this trial began last month
- 25 and it's now resuming this week. Is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Have you reviewed any transcripts of the first week
- 3 of trial?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Has anyone spoken to you about the testimony that was
- 6 given during the first week of trial?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. You've been a psychiatrist seeing patients, I believe
- 9 you said, since 1973. Is that correct?
- 10 A. I started my residency three years before and I saw
- 11 patients then, so officially as credentialed psychiatrist,
- 12 yes, 1973.
- 13 Q. And the overwhelming majority of your patients have
- 14 been adults.
- 15 A. Over the years, yes.
- 16 Q. Is that right, that the overwhelming majority have
- 17 been adults?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is that a yes?
- 20 And you've estimated that you've seen about 50
- 21 | minors, patients under 18, in your nearly 50-year career.
- 22 | Is that correct?
- 23 A. Yeah. In a previous testimony, give can you give me
- 24 | that number?
- 25 Q. I can show you.

THE COURT: Let's short cut. Is that true?

- THE WITNESS: It's approximately true.
- MS. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 BY MS. COOPER:
- 5 Q. And you've testified that you've seen about six
- 6 prepubertal children in your career over 50-plus years.
- 7 A. Yes. That's probably approximately true, personally
- 8 seen.
- 9 THE COURT: What was the last part? I couldn't
- 10 hear you?
- 11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
- 12 | THE COURT: I didn't hear the last couple of
- 13 words. Did you say something --
- 14 THE WITNESS: That I personally have been
- 15 linvolved.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you. You faded off. I
- 17 | couldn't hear.
- 18 BY MS. COOPER:
- 19 Q. I believe you testified on direct that there are
- 20 about 70 gender clinics in the United States. Is that
- 21 | right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you don't know how different practitioners or
- 24 | clinics provide care, correct?
- 25 A. There are many, many practitioners. How would I

- 1 possibly know how they all provide care? I've been aware
- 2 of some instances of care, yes.
- 3 Q. So there are many practitioners and clinics about
- 4 | which you don't know protocols --
- 5 A. Many.
- 6 Q. And you don't know how common it is for clinicians to
- 7 provide hormone therapy to minors without a careful
- 8 assessment of the child and their comorbidities. Is that
- 9 | correct?
- 10 A. Well, I've been in touch with many parents from all
- 11 over the country who have indicated that to me. But in a
- 12 | numerical sense with a denominator, I'm not aware. I
- 13 certainly have had many experience where I heard
- 14 | complaints of -- about that.
- 15 Q. You don't know if it's a small minority, a majority,
- 16 or something in between?
- 17 A. I don't know that 38 percent do and 42 percent don't
- 18 or -- I don't have that kind of information.
- 19 Q. You wouldn't say whether it's a majority or a
- 20 minority.
- 21 A. Couldn't say.
- 22 Q. You don't have any knowledge about how gender
- 23 affirming medical care is provided to minors in Arkansas.
- 24 | Is that correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. You don't know what kind of protocols doctors in
- 2 | Arkansas follow when they treat minors with gender
- 3 dysphoria, do you?
- 4 A. I have no contact with doctors in Arkansas.
- 5 Q. So you don't know whether they thoroughly assess
- 6 patients, including their psychological comorbidities.
- 7 A. Ms. Cooper, doctors always will say they thoroughly
- 8 assess their patients. The question is, what constitutes
- 9 the thorough assessment and how long does that take.
- 10 So, you know, it may very well be that a hundred
- 11 percent of doctors in Arkansas think that they're doing a
- 12 thorough assessment.
- 13 Q. But you don't know how any of them do that
- 14 assessment, do you?
- 15 A. Nor do you.
- 16 Q. You don't know if the protocols used by doctors in
- 17 Arkansas treating gender dysphoric minors include
- 18 psychotherapy.
- 19 A. I don't know that they have a protocol and I don't
- 20 know that they would agree what psychotherapy is and I
- 21 don't know that they have credentials to do psychotherapy
- 22 because, you see, we've removed the need for a mental
- 23 | health professional to be involved with this for the last
- 24 | 12 years. So many of these clinics have masters prepared
- 25 | mental health professionals, often green people in terms

- 1 of experience, doing mental health assessment.
- 2 Q. You don't anything about who was doing mental health
- 3 assessments of minors in Arkansas, do you?
- 4 A. No, I don't.
- 5 Q. You don't know if doctors in Arkansas provide hormone
- 6 therapy after a one-hour visit as you've described here
- 7 and elsewhere.
- 8 A. I don't know for certain what percentage of doctors
- 9 do that.
- 10 Q. You don't know if any in Arkansas do, do you?
- 11 A. As I said before, neither do you.
- 12 Q. And you don't know if they explore -- the doctors in
- 13 Arkansas explore how patients come to identify as
- 14 transgender when seeing these patients?
- 15 | A. It would follow from my answers that you're right
- 16 about that. I don't know whether they know about the
- 17 development of trans identity.
- 18 Q. You don't know anything about how Arkansas doctors
- 19 engage in the informed consent process before providing
- 20 hormone therapy to minors, do you?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 Q. You don't know how thorough it is and if it's a
- 23 process versus a one-time event, do you?
- 24 A. No, I don't.
- 25 Q. Do you know you don't know how many, if any, top

- 1 | surgeries are performed on minors in Arkansas, do you?
- 2 A. What was that question?
- 3 Q. You don't know how many, if any, top surgeries are
- 4 performed on minors with gender dysphoria in Arkansas, do
- 5 | you?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- 7 Q. And you've described what you call the affirming
- 8 | model of care. Is it correct that you don't know if any
- 9 or how many doctors who treat patients with gender
- 10 dysphoria in Arkansas -- minors with gender dysphoria,
- 11 practice the way you've described the affirming model of
- 12 | care, do you?
- 13 A. I'm not familiar with medical care in any division of
- 14 | medicine in Arkansas. I am aware of general patterns.
- 15 And I guess the answer to your question is, I will always
- 16 | be -- I'm unaware of anything related to Arkansas.
- 17 Q. You have treated patients with gender dysphoria,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. You diagnosed patients with gender dysphoria -- or
- 21 | actually let me ask that differently.
- 22 When you evaluate minors for gender dysphoria, what's
- 23 reported by the parents contributes to your assessment of
- 24 whether the patient has gender dysphoria. Is that
- 25 | correct?

- 1 A. Of course.
- 2 Q. And when you diagnosis patients for other --
- 3 A. Excuse me. I didn't answer that correctly.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry.
- 5 A. What is reported by the parents tells me about when
- 6 the gender dysphoria appeared. It doesn't influence the
- 7 diagnosis. The diagnosis is actually made by the patient.
- 8 It's not really made by the doctor. The doctor writes it
- 9 down and makes the diagnosis in the shortest claim, but
- 10 | its the patient's self report that leads to the diagnosis.
- 11 We have no objective appraisal for the diagnosis.
- 12 So parents tell us about the early years of a
- 13 person's life beyond when they could possibly tell us
- 14 about what happened in the first four years of their life.
- 15 They tell us about their gender expressions during grade
- 16 school and the onset of gender dysphoria.
- 17 So in that sense, I had to correct my answer.
- 18 Q. This contributes to your -- this information from
- 19 parents contributes to your assessment of whether someone
- 20 | meets criteria for gender dysphoria, correct?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. That's not your view?
- You were deposed in this case, were you not, in May?
- 24 A. I don't think you and I are understanding each other.
- 25 | I don't know if it's my fault.

1 Q. Can we turn to page 41 of the deposition?

- MS. COOPER: Can we turn on the monitors? Thank you.
  - MR. CANTRELL: Your Honor, I'd just ask if counsel could clarify the question so that it's clear whether or not they're speaking past one another.
- 7 THE COURT: Can you reask your last question? 8 BY MS. COOPER:
  - Q. When you meet with parents and learn information you described getting from parents, does that contribute to your assessment of whether somebody -- a minor patient meets criteria for gender dysphoria?
  - A. What it meets is the age of onset of their parents' presence of gender dysphoria. It doesn't determine the diagnosis, per se, is what I've been saying to you.
    - When -- what you're quoting, you mentioned you meet with the parents, too, does that contribute to your assessment whether someone meets the criteria for gender dysphoria, what's reported by the parents. And then I say, of course.
    - Perhaps today I'm being more clear about that. What I want to know from the parents is what happened early in life, and what I want to know is what other developmental challenges the child had in -- before the onset of gender identity atypicality, you see. So to make the diagnosis

- 1 of rapid onset gender dysphoria versus lifelong gender
- dysphoria, the parents -- the parents' view is vital, you
- 3 see.
- 4 But, you know, today most of the onset of the gender
- 5 dysphoria presents itself at first in adolescence, not at
- 6 age four. In that sense, you and I are talking past each
- 7 other.
- 8 Q. All right. If we can look at -- just to wrap this
- 9 question up for clarity, on page 41 -- can you see the
- 10 | screen in front of you --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- beginning on line 22. Question: Does your -- you
- mentioned that you meet with the parents too. Does that
- 14 contribute to your assessment whether someone meets the
- 15 | criteria for gender dysphoria, what's reported by the
- 16 parents.
- 17 Answer: Of course.
- 18 That was your testimony?
- 19 A. I thought I just explained what I meant by that.
- 20 Q. When you diagnosis patients for other conditions like
- 21 depression or bipolar disorder, do you rely on self-report
- 22 of patients?
- 23 A. And reports from the parents.
- 24 Q. Reliance on self-report from the patients and
- 25 | information from parents is not unique to the diagnosis of

1 gender dysphoria, is it?

- 2 A. That's right. It's not unique.
- 3 Q. Diagnosing patients based on self-report and
- 4 | information from families who know the -- people who know
- 5 | the patient, that's how psychiatry works, isn't it?
- 6 A. Ideally.
- 7 Q. You were deposed this past March in a case called BPJ
- 8 | in West Virginia. Do you remember that case involving
- 9 athletics?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I'd like to show you a passage from -- well, a
- 12 passage from your deposition in that case. Can we look at
- 13 paragraph 6, please?
- Do you see that in front of you in paragraph 6? It
- 15 says, if you'll read along with me: In the course of my
- 16 | five decades of practice treating patients -- I'm sorry.
- 17 This is not your deposition. Let me back up. I misspoke.
- 18 You remember giving a report in the BPJ case. Is
- 19 | that right?
- 20 A. I vaguely remember.
- Q. And is this your expert report?
- 22 A. I don't know. You just --
- 23 Q. Can we scroll through this front page just to show?
- 24 A. It's my signature, yes.
- 25 Q. So if we can turn back to paragraph 6. And I would

- 1 like you to read along with me. In the course of my five
- 2 decades of practice treating patients who suffer from
- 3 gender dysphoria, I have at one time or another
- 4 recommended or prescribed or supported social transition,
- 5 cross-sex hormones, and surgery for particular patients,
- 6 but only after extensive diagnostic and psychotherapeutic
- 7 work.
- 8 So you wrote this passage, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you have supported patients' social transition.
- 11 | Is that correct?
- 12 A. This -- this paragraph or sentence doesn't give an
- 13 age group.
- 14 Q. Understood. But I'm just asking generally, you have
- 15 | supported --
- 16 A. Many four-year-olds.
- 17 Q. Yes. Okay. You have counseled some parents to
- 18 support the transgender identification of their child,
- 19 | haven't you?
- 20 A. I'm not sure that's true. Depending on what you mean
- 21 by child. Child -- a could be 25. That is a child of a
- 22 parent can be 25.
- 23 Q. You've counseled some parents to support their minor
- 24 child's social transition, haven't you?
- 25 A. I have on rare occasion, yes.

- 1 | Q. And switching back to adults, you've written letters
- 2 of authorization for adults seeking gender-affirming
- 3 | surgeries. Is that correct?
- 4 A. I have.
- $5 \mid Q$ . And you've done that as recently as the past two
- 6 years.
- 7 A. I have.
- 8 Q. And you've also written letters authorizing hormone
- 9 therapy for adult patients with gender dysphoria.
- 10 A. I have.
- 11 Q. And these are letters they can take to the
- 12 endocrinologist. Is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you have written such letters approving hormone
- 15 | therapy for minors under 18 in a few cases within the past
- 16 | five years, haven't you?
- 17 A. I don't think in the past five years.
- 18 Q. Okay. Can we turn to Dr. Levine's deposition, page
- 19 | 782
- 20 I would like you to read along with me starting on
- 21 | line 3. So between you and Mrs. Novak, there have been a
- 22 | handful of cases in the past, say, five years where you
- 23 | have approved hormone therapy for minor. Is that right?
- These are particularly fraught difficult
- 25 circumstances, yes.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Mrs. Novak is someone who works in your medical
- 3 | practice -- or your psychiatry practice?
- 4 A. She's a younger colleague of mine.
- 5 Q. That was your testimony.
- 6 A. I'm sorry?
- 7 Q. That was your testimony that I read correctly.
- 8 A. Yes. I'm just not sure today whether it's five years
- 9 or six years now. And in generally, there have been a few
- 10 | very fraught cases where we felt that this is a very
- 11 reasonable thing given the severity, the complexity of the
- 12 case, and that we would -- we, along with parents, would
- 13 hold our breath that this would be of help.
- 14 Q. And you have cosigned letters for hormone therapy for
- 15 minors written by Mrs. Novak, again, approving some minors
- 16 | for hormone therapy. Is that right?
- 17 A. Yes, but this has not occurred very recently, Ms.
- 18 | Cooper.
- 19 Q. You would not write a letter supporting hormone
- 20 therapy for a minor if you did not believe the patient had
- 21 | gender dysphoria, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And you would not write a letter approving a minor
- 24 | for hormone therapy without first determining that they
- 25 had a longstanding, stable gender identity. Is that

1 | correct?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You've served as an expert witness in a case called
- 4 Keohane -- I'll spell that. K-e-o-h-a-n-e -- in Florida
- 5 in 2017. Is that correct?
- 6 A. I did.
- 7 Q. And you were deposed in that case?
- 8 A. I was.
- 9 Q. I would like to show you a passage of your
- 10 deposition.
- 11 Can we put up the Keohane transcript page?
- 12 First, confirm this is the deposition. We have that
- 13 is this your deposition that you gave.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Let's go to page 59. And on line 10, if you will --
- 16 beginning on line 10, read along with me.
- 17 | I recommend psychotherapy to people and so I don't
- 18 exactly follow the standards. The 7th Edition wants to
- 19 give adolescents hormones very quickly and we're much more
- 20 cautious. We will give adolescents --
- 21 THE COURT: Can you slow down?
- MS. COOPER: I'm sorry.
- 23 BY MS. COOPER:
- 24 Q. I'll start that sentence again. The 7th Edition
- wants to give adolescents hormone very quickly and we're

- 1 much more cautious. We will give adolescents hormones,
- 2 but not as quickly as the Standards of Care would like.
- That was your testimony in Keohane.
- 4 A. I have to say yes.
- 5 Q. And just to clarify, the Standards of Care you're
- 6 referring to in the 7th Edition, is that the WPATH's
- 7 | Standards of Care 7th Edition?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. When you were deposed in May of this year in this
- 10 case, the Brandt case, you testified, did you not, that
- 11 going forward you have not made a decision to no longer
- 12 | write letters approving hormone therapy for patients under
- 13 | 18 years of age.
- 14 A. Indulge me a minute. In the previous thing you put
- 15 up, my deposition of adolescents was not the definition I
- 16 gave to the Judge earlier this morning. It was my
- 17 definition of an adolescent is somebody 19 years of age.
- 18 And so if you reread that, it would include 18-year-olds
- 19 and 19-year-olds.
- 20 So would you repeat the last question you asked me?
- 21 Q. Sure. When you were deposed this past May in this
- 22 case in Arkansas, you testified that, going forward, you
- 23 | have not made a decision to categorically not write
- 24 | letters approving hormone therapy for patients under 18,
- 25 | correct?

- 1 A. I don't remember saying that, but if you have that, I trust you.
  - Q. Yeah. I think we want to put that in the record.

4 Can we look at deposition page 227?

And if you go to line 3, part way through beginning with the words, "Have you made a decision." Are you with me? It's highlight.

Have you made a decision to no longer consider hormone therapy for anybody who has not reached their 18th birthday since you provided those letters?

Answer: I've made a decision to be very cautious and to put a period of time in therapy between me and the letter.

You go on to say more, which you're welcome to read if you would like, but I want to continue on to another passage that picks up rather than taking the Court's time reading a lot of discussion in between.

If we could turn to page 228, line 3. Let me know if you want to review there.

MR. CANTRELL: Your Honor, I would like to just, if we could, take a look at the intervening testimony, glance at that.

MS. COOPER: Sure. We can post that.
Absolutely.

THE COURT: I thought you were in the

- 1 deposition, Mr. Cantrell, but go ahead.
- 2 BY MS. COOPER:

9

10

11

12

- Q. Do you have that in front of you now, Doctor? If you look at line 3 and read along with me.
- So I'm not sure if that answers my question. Have you made a decision to no longer provide letters?
- 7 Answer: Oh, I'm sorry. No, I haven't made that 8 decision.
  - Question: So would it be a case-by-case basis if there were a patient that you felt it was appropriate for you -- appropriate for, you would consider doing it, say, a 17-year-old or a 16-year-old?
- 13 Mr. Cantrell: Object to form.
- Answer: I don't have a -- yes. The answer to your question is yes.
- 16 I'm not going to ask you if that was your testimony
  17 again --
- 18 A. Thank you.
- 19 Q. -- since I see how you love those questions.
- Now, today you testified that you would not recommend hormone therapy for patients under 18. Do you mean you
- 22 | would not generally recommend hormone therapy as a general
- 23 | matter?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So there may be exceptional cases where you would

- 1 still consider it appropriate.
- 2 A. Yes. These are very fraught circumstances. I think
- 3 | all of us all over the world recognize that we are under
- 4 | very difficult circumstances sometimes. We don't know
- 5 | what to do and we eventually go along with the patient's
- 6 sincere desire to try hormones.
- 7 Q. Now, you talked on direct about an article you wrote
- 8 | called, Reconsidering Informed Consent for
- 9 Trans-identified Children, Adolescents, and Young Adults.
- 10 And I just want to ask you a couple of questions
- 11 about that article.
- 12 In this article, you recommend informed content
- 13 process that you think providers should undertake before
- 14 authorizing medical or surgical transition for minors,
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. I'd like to pull up a passage from that article to
- 18 show you. If we can look at page 2. And I have some
- 19 | material highlighted. Actually, I would like you to skip
- 20 to -- sorry. I wasn't in front of the mic. I would like
- 21 to skip to the second highlighted paragraph.
- 22 A. I know what you're you talking about.
- 23 Q. We over highlighted. If you'll read along with me in
- 24 the second paragraph there.
- 25 | Social transition, hormonal interventions, and

surgery have profound implications for the course of the lives of young patients and their families. It is incumbent upon professionals that these consequences be thoroughly patiently clarified over time prior to undertaking any element of transition.

The informed consent process does not preclude transition; it merely educates the family about the state of the science underpinning the decision to transition. Social transition hormones and surgeries are unproven in a strict scientific sense and, as such, to be ethical, require a thorough and fully informed consent process.

So in your view, it is ethical to provide gender affirming hormone therapy to minor patients if the doctors engage in the thorough evaluation process you describe in your article and the thorough informed consent process that fully informs patients and their parents of the risks as well as the state of science, right?

A. If you read on in that article, I raise the question of what do the doctors actually know about the state of

science and the risk and what false beliefs or beliefs that are not scientifically established that they have. So that can they give informed consent is an issue. Can they provide informed consent process is an issue if they're not informed themselves, if they have false assumptions; for example, that is biologically dictated,

- that is immutable, that it cures suicidal ideation, and that it makes everyone live happily ever after, you see.
- So in order to understand that last -- the
- 4 | second-last sentence there, it does not preclude
- 5 transition. It presumes that the doctor is knowledgeable.
- 6 And what it I have been saying is that all the doctors are
- 7 | not equally knowledgeable about the state of science.
- 8 Q. But you're not saying that no doctors are
- 9 knowledgeable.
- 10 A. Of course I'm not saying that, Ms. Cooper.
- 11 Q. In that article that we're looking at here, you don't
- 12 say that gender-affirming medical care, specifically
- 13 hormone therapy or blockers or surgeries, should be
- 14 categorically prohibited for minors, do you?
- 15 A. No, I don't. This is -- that was not the topic of
- 16 this article.
- 17 Q. You testified that you would like to see an
- 18 | international committee -- this was today. You testified
- 19 | that you'd like to see an international committee
- 20 developed standards for informed consent to provide
- 21 gender-affirming medical care to adolescents. Is that
- 22 | right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So, you're not seeking to prohibit care, but to
- ensure that patients have been thoroughly provided

- information and take the time and patience to understand it before making this monumental decision.
- 3 A. Ms. Cooper, when you say "patients," you need to ask
- 4 -- for me to agree to that, you have to add parents and
- 5 patients.
- 6 Q. Thank you. Let me ask it differently.
- So you're not looking to prohibit care, but to ensure that patients, and particularly their parents when they're minors, have thorough information in order to be able to
- 10 adequately make that decision. Is that correct?
- 11 A. I am not motivated to prohibit care. I am motivated
- 12 to clarify the scientific basis upon which the care is
- 13 provided, and if the basis is inadequate, to let doctors
- 14 be cautious about this.
- 15 Q. And to inform families of this information as well.
- 16 A. And to inform -- to use their own ethical unease
- 17 about the wisdom of this in their informing patients and
- 18 | parents about the state of science here and what is not
- 19 known, the uncertainties, and the risks of harms.
- 20 Q. And your view is that, if families are -- by
- 21 | "families," I'm specifically focusing on parents -- are
- 22 | fully informed about the risks and the state of the
- 23 | science, the decision about whether to pursue hormone
- 24 therapy for adolescents -- minor adolescents should be
- 25 made by the parents, patient, and doctors. Is that

1 | correct?

- A. I would prefer that the doctors be extremely well informed about the outcome of hormone treatments or the lack of outcome of hormone treatments before they are offering that as the first treatment or the second treatment even of the child -- the child who is so -- who's transgendered.
- Q. Assuming those doctors are informed as you've described, is it your view that family -- if families are fully informed about the risks and the state of the science -- the science, sorry -- the decision about whether to pursue hormone therapy for minors to be made by the parents, patient, and doctors?
  - A. Yes. Assuming that the parents are informed about the psychosocial consequences of transforming the body and transforming -- and solidifying, making more certain about the trans identity when, if left alone, the child would have more years to have social and romantic experiences upon which to make that decision that they want to live as a trans person.

All of us intuitively have doubts about a 15-year-old's knowledge about her future or his future. And we are just trying to give those -- that developmental process of adolescence the chance to proceed before we do something that changes the direction of that developmental

loutcome.

I think people who are advocates of hormonal intervention recognize that they are precluding a bunch of possibilities for their patients because their patients passionately want this, you see, and they're certain nothing bad is going to happen to them, it's going to improve their lives. But, you see, we doctors have a knowledge that the 15-year-old patient and their families may not have, and it's our responsibility to bring that knowledge to bear in the informed consent process.

- Q. Okay. To be clear, you have written an article about what the informed consent process should look like in the case of providing hormone therapy to minors with gender dysphoria, correct?
- A. That article talks about not so much what they should do, but what the evidence shows. I have not written an article and said, informed consent should have the following 16 features. I've said, here are the barriers to informed consent. And I think if you take out one sentence or one paragraph without reading the whole article, you miss the point.
- Q. But it's not your testimony, is it, that informed consent for minors to receive hormone therapy for gender dysphoria is an impossibility, is it?
- 25 A. It's not only a possibility, it's happening all over

- 1 | the country.
- 2 Q. But proper informed consent.
- 3 | A. I don't know. We don't know. None of us know what
- 4 the informed consent is in Missouri and in this clinic in
- 5 Missouri versus that clinic in Missouri and this doctor in
- 6 | Iowa versus that doctor in Iowa.
- 7 Q. Understanding you don't know how each doctor provides
- 8 care, is it your view that if families who are seeing a
- 9 | well-informed doctor under your understanding of what that
- 10 means, are fully informed about the risks and the state of
- 11 the science, the decision about whether to pursue hormone
- 12 | therapy for adolescents -- minor adolescents should be
- 13 made by the parents, patient, and doctor. Is that
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. It is my testimony that that team of well-informed
- 16 doctor, scientifically well-informed, parents that have a
- 17 respect for the doctor and have met with the doctor
- 18 | numerous times, and the doctor who has a relationship with
- 19 the patient, and after that patient has had a process of
- 20 psychotherapy where these matters, their ambivalence, the
- 21 uncertainty, their eating disorders, and their self-harm
- 22 episodes, et cetera, have been thoroughly explored -- if
- 23 | that team of doctors, patient, and parent want to do that,
- 24 | that's what doctors do. We do that for cancer as well,
- 25 | vou know.

- 1 Q. And they are the people who should make that
- 2 decision?
- 3 A. I would prefer doctors make the decision, of course,
- 4 but I want doctors to be informed not by politics, which
- 5 | they are, but by science, which they're not.
- 6 Q. I want to go back to -- again, we talked about the
- 7 BPJ case. I think we looked at your report there before.
- 8 | I want to ask you a question about your deposition. You
- 9 were deposed in that case, correct, earlier this year?
- 10 A. Yes. I trust you.
- 11 Q. If we can put up the front of the BPJ deposition.
- Does that look like your deposition? Does that look
- 13 | like your deposition?
- 14 A. I'm sorry. I thought you were --
- 15 Q. Is that a yes?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That was in March of this year. Is that what it
- 18 | says?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. If you can look on page 133, and I'll ask you to read
- 21 along with me, starting on line 20, just the highlighted
- 22 passage.
- 23 | There's an ethical responsibility, a professional
- 24 responsibility to teach the parents, teach the adult what
- 25 is known and what is not known. What they decide is their

- 1 business, it's their prog -- it's their prerogative, it's
- 2 their child, it's their seven-year-old. It's not my
- 3 | seven-year-old, see. It's not your seven-year-old. It's
- 4 | not your 14-year-old. It's theirs.
- 5 THE COURT: Ms. Cooper, slow down a little bit.
- 6 BY MS. COOPER:
- 7 Q. That was the end of the passage. So that was your
- 8 | testimony in March, Dr. Levine?
- 9 A. Taken out of context, it is, yes.
- 10 Q. Some people -- excuse me. You agree, don't you, that
- 11 there are some people who benefit, including long term,
- 12 | from gender-affirming medical treatments?
- 13 A. Yeah. Yes. I have seen people who are happy that
- 14 they have made the transition, yes.
- 15 Q. And I want to switch gears and focus a little bit on
- 16 the law at issue in this case. You have concerns about
- 17 the law, Act 626, with respect to minors who are already
- 18 receiving hormone therapy and doing well but would be
- 19 required to discontinue treatment if the law took effect.
- 20 | Is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You have concerns about -- specifically, about youth
- 23 | who have already been stabilized in their new gender
- 24 having to discontinue treatment.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I'm sorry. Yes?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You believe that for youth who are currently
- 4 receiving hormone therapy, requiring them to discontinue
- 5 | treatment could create a psychological -- could create
- 6 psychological and physiological problems, correct?
- 7 A. Most certainly, I think it would be a psychological
- 8 challenge for those folks, whether physiologically or
- 9 cause a significant problem is not clear because,
- 10 depending on their age and depending on the original
- 11 | maturation of their ovaries and testes, stopping estrogen
- 12 or stopping testosterone abruptly may cause brief periods
- 13 of thermo-regulatory -- what we call hot flashes.
- But I think if a person's just had hormones, say,
- 15 | starting at age 15 or 16, their gonads had matured enough,
- 16 | that they would begin the secretion of progesterone and
- 17 estrogen for biologic girls and testosterone for boys.
- 18 | But I think psychologically, it would be a shocking and
- 19 devastating thing for them.
- There are lots of negative things that happen to all
- 21 of us in life that are shocking and devastating, and we
- 22 | learn to cope with it. And what I said at the deposition
- 23 | is that doctors are compassionate people generally and
- 24 they would find a way to be of help. And my concern with
- 25 the law as ti was originally written is that it seems to

- 1 | leave out what you're talking about. And that I thought
- 2 that the Attorney General would be kind and compassionate
- 3 to those people. But I just thought the doctors would
- 4 take care of it, but the law left out that, and that was
- 5 | my concern.
- 6 Q. So you would prefer for the law to have made
- 7 provisions for minors who were doing better or functioning
- 8 | well with medical transition and want to continue
- 9 | treatment, but you weren't consulted, right?
- 10 A. I was not consulted.
- 11 Q. But you would also prefer for the law to have made
- 12 those provisions. Is that right?
- 13 A. You know, I don't have credentials as a legislature,
- 14 Ms. Cooper. I don't understand the process. I'm just a
- 15 | doctor and concerned about the welfare of individuals who
- 16 think one thing and are treated medically and are
- 17 encouraged to believe that they are something and that it
- 18 will never change and then the infrastructure of that
- 19 belief is pulled away from them. Of course, they're going
- 20 to have a psychological reaction that's going to be
- 21 | anxiety prone and depressive prone and may even lead to
- 22 | temporary suicidal ideation.
- But I just think that if the law goes into effect and
- 24 | the doctors can identify those people, they will respond
- 25 to those people knowing what's coming and make some

- 1 provisions for their care and perhaps even have some
- 2 relationship to the legislature to add additional law to
- 3 provide guidance for how to take care of these people.
- 4 But this is not my expertise.
- $5 \mid Q$ . Just to clarify, can we look at page 170 of the
- 6 deposition, line 15?
- 7 Do you see where it's highlighted on line 15,
- 8 beginning of the highlighting the word, but, "but there
- 9 are kids."
- 10 But there are kid who I will presume with you that
- 11 there are children who are doing better or who are
- 12 | functioning well in their new role and who want to
- 13 continuing. And I think solutions will be found. You
- 14 know, I would you prefer this law to have made provisions
- 15 | already for that, but that wasn't in. I wasn't consulted.
- 16 | I read that correctly?
- 17 A. As I told you many times in deposition, you are an
- 18 excellent reader.
- 19 Q. Thank you.
- In your opinion, the law does have a problem now
- 21 | regarding how to treat those minors who are already
- 22 receiving hormone therapy, correct?
- 23 A. It's a problem.
- 24 Q. And you believe that for families of minors who have
- been on hormone therapy and are doing well, if the law

- 1 takes effect, they will have to find a solution like going
- 2 to another state for treatment, correct?
- 3 A. That would be one solution. I think the doctors in
- 4 the state will take care of it.
- 5 Q. By convincing the legislature to change the laws?
- 6 A. By convincing the legislature or having an
- 7 understanding with the Attorney General's office about
- 8 this special case or these cases or just privately taking
- 9 care of medical care in a private way that, you know, you
- 10 | don't know about.
- 11 Q. Under the radar, you're saying.
- 12 A. Of course. Doctors function that way all the time.
- 13 Q. And you've testified that you hope that medical
- 14 professionals would approach the legislature to not put
- 15 doctors in harm's way if they are taking care of minor
- 16 patients that they've previously committed to treat with
- 17 | gender-affirming medical care, correct?
- 18 A. I consider doctors to be a community resource. It's
- 19 taken years and years of development. The society has
- 20 invested in to create a doctor with skills in a particular
- 21 | specialty area. And to punish the doctor and to -- by
- 22 removing him from medical practice, defining -- depriving
- 23 | the community of that resource seems Draconian. And I
- 24 think it's intended to scare doctors not to be involved
- 25 | with this, as opposed to, I'm going to put you in jail and

- make you lose your license.
- 2 So I do have this bias about doctors. I have very
- 3 positive view of doctors and their good intention and
- 4 | their role in society and I think of them as community
- 5 resources. And anything that challenges the community
- 6 resource, short of moral repugnant behavior or
- 7 | incompetence, | think | don't like.
- 8 Q. You talked a little bit in directed about research
- 9 and the need for more research on gender-affirming medical
- 10 care for minors.
- 11 Would you agree that minors should be able to receive
- 12 gender-affirming medical care in the context of clinical
- 13 | trials?

1

- 14 A. Oh, yes. I think that would be wonderful.
- 15 Q. If someone were to ask you your opinion about a law
- 16 like the Arkansas law in this case, you would favor an
- 17 exception to the law for participation in clinical trials,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Depending on what we mean about -- depending on the
- 20 scientific merit of the clinical trial.
- 21 Q. Assuming it was a robust clinical trial like the type
- 22 | were you describing earlier.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. You would favor an exception for that?
- 25 A. Yes, whether it's in Arkansas or Missouri or Ohio and

- 1 | ideally in all three.
- 2 Q. Yeah. I think you testified earlier that -- in
- 3 response to a question about the research, you said in
- 4 | five years, I could give you more data, I think was the
- 5 | way you put it. But not data from Arkansas, right, if the
- 6 law goes into effect because that research wouldn't be
- 7 able to be conducted here?
- 8 A. I would be happy if it were five other states, five
- 9 other -- five years from now. I don't care what the state
- 10 | is.
- 11 Q. You were in discussions with the Alabama Attorney
- 12 | General's office about serving as an expert witness in the
- 13 case there challenging a ban on gender-affirming medical
- 14 care for minors, correct?
- 15 A. I did have a phone conversation, yes.
- 16 Q. You told them you had concerns about the Alabama law.
- 17 | Is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You were uncomfortable with the Alabama law.
- 20 A. I don't exactly remember what I was uncomfortable
- 21 | with. I think it was about the punishment of doctors,
- 22 | removing doctors' licenses.
- 23 Q. That was a concern to you.
- 24 A. I just explained it.
- 25 Q. I think you may have touched on this, but is it fair

- 1 to say, if doctors in Arkansas were to lose their licenses
- 2 for providing gender-affirming medical care to minors,
- 3 | that would be a concern to you?
- 4 A. It would be a concern to me. As I remember the law,
- 5 | it doesn't say, lose your license. It says, reporting you
- 6 to the state medical board for whatever you decide.
- 7 doubt very much that the board would take away a doctor's
- 8 license.
- 9 Q. But if they did, that would be a concern to you?
- 10 A. That would be a concern probably to all doctors
- 11 | everywhere.
- 12 Q. Now, when you were retained by the Arkansas Attorney
- 13 | General's office to provide -- to serve as an expert
- 14 witness in this case, you understood you were being hired
- 15 | to provide information about the state of the science in
- 16 the field. Is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You were not submitting an expert report in this case
- 19 to express your support for the law, correct?
- 20 A. Right. I was here to represent a balanced view of
- 21 the state of science.
- 22 Q. You mentioned you had some concerns about the law
- 23 | already, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- MR. CANTRELL: Your Honor -- I was going to

1	object on the basis of asked and answered. It's the third
2	time counsel has asked that particular question.
3	MS. COOPER: I think I'm through with that line
4	of questions.
5	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, can we have a bathroom
6	break?
7	THE COURT: Yep. Take about a 15-minute break.
8	The Court will be in recess to 20 until 3:00.
9	(A recess was taken at 2:40 p.m.)
10	* * * *
11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
12	I, Valarie D. Flora, FCRR, TX-CSR, AR-CCR, certify that
13	the foregoing is a correct transcript of proceedings in the
14	above-entitled matter.
15	Dated this the 5th day of December, 2022.
16	/s/ Valarie D. Flora, FCRR
17	
18	United States Court Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 (Proceedings continuing at 3:00 p.m.)
- 2 BY MS. COOPER:
- 3 Q. Dr. Levine, you submitted a report in opposition to our
- 4 | plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction. Do you remember
- 5 doing that in this case?
- 6 A. In this case?
- 7 Q. In this case, yeah.
- 8 A. That's too legalese for me.
- 9 Q. Okay. Let's show you -- if you can put up the report or
- 10 the rebuttal.
- 11 If you can look at the document on the screen dated
- 12 July 9th, '21, or filed. On the top, it says, "July 9th, '21,
- declaration of Stephen Levine." Is this a report that you
- 14 prepared in this case?
- 15 A. That was my original report.
- 16 Q. Okay. If you can look at page -- excuse me -- paragraph
- 17 | 35, and read along, the highlighted material.
- 18 "To my knowledge, there is no credible scientific evidence
- 19 beyond anecdotal reports that psychotherapy can enable a return
- 20 to male identification for genetically male boys, adolescents,
- 21 and men, or return to female identification for genetically
- 22 | female girls, adolescents and women."
- 23 That's what you said in your report?
- 24 A. That's what I did say, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, you talked on direct about your clinical

- 1 experience, and you mentioned that most of your patients were
- 2 | adult patients. It's correct that you've had only two patients
- 3 | who have detransitioned after medically transitioning. Is that
- 4 | correct?
- 5 A. That I'm aware of at the moment, yeah.
- 6 Q. Okay. And in your report in this case, you cited a paper
- 7 | by Exposito-Campos about detransition. Correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you noted that the Exposito-Campos review of
- 10 detransitioning claimed to have identified 16,000 entries in a
- 11 search of proliferating websites devoted to this topic. Is that
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A. Detransitioning, yes.
- 14 Q. And, to be clear, this did not represent 16,000
- 15 detransitioners. Right?
- 16 A. It's not possible to know what percentage of them are
- 17 | individual people who have detransitioned.
- 18 Q. That was a reference of the number of people participating
- 19 | in these online groups. Is that right?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. Uh-huh. Okay. Now, you talked some during direct
- 22 | testimony about detransition and studies looking at rates of
- 23 detransition. I just had a couple of questions about that. You
- 24 | mentioned that there was a study that said that there was a rate
- 25 of about 30 percent of patients detransitioned.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Right? How was detransition defined in that study?
- 3 A. I think as stopping hormones.
- 4 Q. People may stop hormones without going back to reverting to
- 5 | their biological sex. Correct?
- 6 A. Yeah. The study is about the rate, you see. It's not
- 7 | about the details, right?
- 8 Q. Okay. And I would like to pull up a passage in your
- 9 rebuttal report in this case. Do you have that, paragraph 17?
- 10 | If you can look at page 1, is this your rebuttal?
- 11 Oh, is this the same document? I'm sorry. It is the same
- 12 document.
- 13 This is your report you wrote in this case?
- 14 A. I trust you.
- 15 Q. It has your name on it. Right? Did you look at it? I
- 16 | want to make sure we're on the same page.
- 17 A. A rebuttal declaration of Dr. Stephen Levine, M.D.
- 18 Q. Let's look at paragraph 17, please. I would like to have
- 19 | you go down sort of towards the bottom, second-to-last line in
- 20 | that paragraph, beginning with the word "according." And read
- 21 | along with me.
- 22 It says, "According to a recent study from a UK adult
- 23 | gender clinic, 6.9 percent of those treated with
- 24 | gender-affirmative interventions detransitioned within 16 months
- 25 of starting treatment, and 3.4 percent had a pattern of care

- 1 | suggestive of detransition, yielding a rate of probable
- 2 detransition in excess of 10 percent. Another 21.7 percent of
- 3 | patients disengaged from gender clinics without completing their
- 4 treatment plan. While some of these individuals later reengaged
- 5 with the gender service, the authors concluded detransitioning
- 6 might be more frequent than previously reported."
- 7 | That's a passage from your report. Correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Now, when you spoke earlier about 6.9 percent and about
- 10 | 3 percent suggestive of detransitioning, that was this study you
- 11 | are talking about. Is that correct?
- 12 A. There was another study.
- 13 Q. That was another study that also --
- 14 A. That was a subsequent study. It was one where people were
- 15 placed on hormones around age 20, and five years later they had
- 16 | a rate of detransition I think closer to 30 percent.
- 17 Q. Well, so that number of 30 percent -- because I'm looking
- 18 here in 21 percent plus 10 percent gets to be around 30 percent,
- 19 | that's not your 30 percent number?
- 20 A. Is that the Boyd study or the Hall study?
- 21 So No. 9 is the Hall study. I'm sorry. No. 9 is the Boyd
- 22 study.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. So, you know, my point is that these are early rate
- 25 | studies. There will be more studies. The fact that

- 1 detransition occurs in various forms to various degrees should
- 2 | not be denied any longer. The incidence of using this 1 or
- 3 | 2 percent of regret for the whole phenomenon of maybe a mistake
- 4 has been made somewhere along the line no longer is acceptable.
- 5 What we need to think is that with the rising number of people
- 6 getting hormones and the rising number of people saying they are
- 7 | transgender and then the rising number of people getting access
- 8 to hormones, we should expect that some of those people, given
- 9 the ordinary ambivalence of the human soul, will change their
- 10 mind as they get older and detransition to various degrees.
- 11 These studies are just the first early reports.
- 12 Q. Understood. But my question has to do with the 30 percent
- 13 | figure you gave. These studies don't show that 30 percent of
- 14 patients who were on treatment detransitioned. Isn't that
- 15 | right?
- 16 A. I think -- yes, that's right. They are showing that
- 17 | 30 percent dropped out of treatment or were lost to the original
- 18 | treatment plan.
- 19 Q. So, to be clear, they showed that 6.9 percent, what you
- 20 described here, 6.9 percent detransitioned, and another
- 21 | 3.4 percent had a pattern of care suggestive of detransition.
- 22 But separately, to get to the remainder, to get to that
- 23 | 30 percent are 21.7 percent who are just people who dropped out
- 24 of the program. Right?
- 25 A. And that's study No. 1. That's Hall.

- 1 Q. Just to clarify, we don't know if 21 percent of those
- people detransitioned.
- 3 A. No. They may have gotten their care at a different
- 4 | country.
- 5 Q. In fact, your own description says some of them reengaged
- 6 in the clinics in those 21 percent.
- 7 A. That was a quote.
- $8 \mid Q$ . That was a quote. Okay. Tell me, so there's another study
- 9 | that showed 30 percent detransitioned? Which one is that?
- 10 A. If you look down further to No. 9, reference No. 9 to Boyd,
- 11 | that's a study of older people detransitioning.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. My point, Ms. Cooper, is that people detransition. And we
- 14 | shouldn't be surprised, and we shouldn't sell the public that
- 15 once a trans always a trans.
- 16 Q. I want to switch gears for now and ask you about a
- 17 presentation you gave at the American Psychiatric Association
- 18 | this past May. Correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Yeah. You presented at an annual conference of the
- 21 American Psychiatric Association in a symposium on reexamining
- 22 the best practices for transgender youth. Is that correct?
- 23 A. That is very correct.
- Q. And among your co-presenters were Ken Zucker. Correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And others were Lisa Marchiano and Sasha Ayad? Correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Ms. Cooper, can you spell that last name?
- 4 MS. COOPER: I can. Ayad is A-y-a-d.
- 5 BY MS. COOPER:
- 6 Q. And all four of you who were on that panel are people who
- 7 | have dissenting views from APA policies on trans healthcare.
- 8 | Correct?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. Okay. And the APA was aware that the four of you were
- 11 presenting ideas that were not in keeping with official policies
- 12 of the APA. Correct?
- 13 A. Yes. They made an announcement of that before we were
- 14 allowed to present. And they sent a special person to moderate
- 15 it. They didn't allow me, the chairman, to moderate it, but
- 16 they didn't tell me they were going to do that. They just
- showed up three minutes before the symposium, yes.
- 18 Q. And while you were talking, the group in the audience was
- 19 polite, and no one interrupted. Is that correct?
- 20 A. While I --
- 21 Q. While you were presenting on the panel.
- 22 A. Yes. They were very polite until the presentation was --
- 23 the presentations were finished.
- 24 Q. Excuse me? Until the presentations were --
- 25 A. Finished.

- THE COURT: Dr. Levine, as a psychiatrist, how did
- 2 | that make you feel? No. I'm kidding.
- THE WITNESS: I can answer that, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: You don't have to answer it. I'm just
- 5 trying to shake things up a bit. Keep going.
- 6 THE WITNESS: You succeeded.
- 7 BY MS. COOPER:
- 8 Q. No one interrupted. Correct?
- 9 | A. I'm sorry?
- 10 Q. No one interrupted the presentations. Correct?
- 11 A. The only interruption in the presentation was when I began
- 12 to speak to introduce the symposium, this woman appeared and
- 13 | told me to wait a minute, she was going to make the first
- 14 | comment.
- 15 Q. But there wasn't a disruption of the content of the
- 16 presentations?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. We just mentioned Ken Zucker, who was on the panel with
- 19 you. Is it correct that he is called -- excuse me -- he is a
- 20 proponent of what some call watchful waiting for prepubertal
- 21 | children?
- 22 A. That's not what he presented about.
- Q. But your understanding is he's a proponent. I'm sorry if
- 24 that wasn't clear. Putting aside his presentation at the panel,
- 25 he is a person who supports watchful waiting for prepubertal

- 1 | children with gender dysphoria. Correct?
- 2 A. He was one of the original people who I think coined the
- 3 | term. But we would have to understand what watchful waiting
- 4 means.
- 5 Q. Uh-huh, yeah. He doesn't favor social transition for
- 6 prepubertal children. Is that correct?
- 7 A. I think so. I think that's correct.
- 8 Q. Dr. Zucker has provided puberty blockers to minors -- I'm
- 9 | sorry -- to minors who are post-puberty adolescents. Correct?
- 10 A. I actually cannot testify with any certainty about how Dr.
- 11 Zucker practices and has practiced. You should know that Dr.
- 12 Zucker was the first and most well-known researcher on childhood
- 13 gender identity disorder and was formulating various ways of
- 14 evaluating and testing and treating these people.
- 15 Q. My question, though, is about his use of blockers. At the
- 16 symposium, he said that he sometimes provided blockers to
- 17 | minors. Correct?
- 18 A. I don't remember if he said that at the symposium. If you
- 19 have evidence that he said it, then I trust you.
- 20 Q. Let's look at page 300 of the deposition. Let's look at
- 21 | the question above just to give context.
- 22 "Question: And Ken Zucker, Lisa Ayad and Lisa Marchiano
- 23 | are people you would describe as supporting a more cautious
- 24 approach with respect to providing hormone therapy to minors.
- 25 "Answer: As Dr. Zucker said during the symposium, you

- 1 know, he sometimes has to prescribe puberty blockers to
- 2 | children. But certainly I think you summarized what all four of
- 3 us believe."
- 4 | That was your testimony. Correct?
- 5 A. Back in that deposition, I remembered that. But today --
- 6 Q. You don't remember now. Okay. Understood.
- 7 I'm going to switch gears a bit. You prescribe medication
- 8 to patients for various psychiatric conditions like depression.
- 9 Right?
- 10 A. I already said I have, yes.
- 11 Q. And you've prescribed drugs to patients for off-label use.
- 12 | Correct?
- 13 A. Generally not.
- 14 Q. There are times you have prescribed drugs for off-label
- 15 | use. Correct?
- 16 A. I can't remember a moment at this moment, but it's likely
- 17 | that I might have.
- 18 Q. Okay. Let's just put up page 249 of the deposition to
- 19 refresh your recollection perhaps. Do you have that in front of
- 20 you? Starting on line 8 -- do you have that?
- 21 A. I have it, yeah.
- 22 Q. "Question: Do you never prescribe off-label drugs, drugs
- 23 | for off-label use?
- 24 | "Mr. Cantrell: Object to form.
- 25 "Answer: Yes. There are times that I've prescribed drugs

- 1 | for off-label use."
- 2 That was your testimony. Correct?
- 3 A. Oh, yes, yes. That was my testimony.
- 4 Q. Okay. Off-label drug use is very common in probably every
- 5 | field of medicine. Correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Uh-huh. And the fact that a drug is being used off label
- 8 does not make the use experimental. Correct?
- 9 A. In some sense it is experimental. It's not approved.
- 10 There hasn't been evidence other than in a clinical fashion to
- 11 do it. It's not experimental in the same serious way we're
- 12 talking about using the various drugs for gender dysphoria off
- 13 | label and perhaps experimental.
- 14 Q. You would agree, though, that the fact that a drug is being
- 15 used off label does not alone mean that it's experimental.
- 16 | Correct?
- 17 A. I would agree with that.
- 18 Q. People in your field know the difference between articles
- 19 that are peer reviewed in a scientific journal and different
- 20 kinds of publications. Right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Speaking generally about psychiatric conditions, you would
- 23 agree that because of the complexity of the human psyche and the
- 24 | difficulty of running controlled experiments in this area,
- 25 | substantial disagreements among professionals about the causes

- 1 of psychological disorders and about the appropriate therapeutic
- 2 responses are not unusual. Correct?
- 3 A. That's quite a mouthful.
- 4 | Q. Would you like me to --
- 5 A. No, no. I understand. That's sort of a general
- 6 establishment that we don't know a great deal about the causes
- 7 of various diagnosable conditions and that different
- 8 professionals have different views about the causes or the
- 9 | relative importance of causes. It is to some extent a Tower of
- 10 | Babel.
- 11 Q. And that is true with respect to the appropriate
- 12 therapeutic responses to various psychiatric conditions, that
- 13 | people may have different approaches to what's the appropriate
- 14 psychotherapeutic response?
- 15 A. Well, they address people by professional A are treated
- 16 with these drugs and professional B for these drugs. But on a
- 17 | scientific basis, we know that A and B, there's no significant
- 18 difference, even though you as a doctor prefer this drug and I
- 19 as a doctor don't like that drug.
- 20 Q. You testified today that the WPATH Standards of Care no
- 21 | longer require a mental health assessment prior to initiating
- 22 | hormone therapy. Now, that's for adults. Right? You were
- 23 | talking about adults, because there is a mental health
- 24 requirement for minors seeking gender-affirming hormone therapy.
- 25 | Correct?

- 1 A. I hope so in the DSM -- I'm sorry -- in the Standards of
- 2 | Care, eighth edition, yeah.
- 3 Q. Do you know?
- 4 A. I can't remember. I think -- see, these are words that I
- 5 | read, but it's the translation of words into action is the
- 6 issue. And who is a mental health professional and what
- 7 | credentials and what level of experience and what understanding
- 8 of this disorder do they have, and how much do they know about
- 9 the state of science? I mean, you have to ask yourself the
- 10 question in those 70 clinics who is providing the psychiatric
- 11 | assessment. You know, it used to be the psychiatrist and Ph.D.
- 12 psychologists were the only credentialed. That's not true now.
- 13 Lesser educated people are credentialed. Now even nurse
- 14 practitioners are making the diagnoses and prescribing hormones.
- 15 Q. But you don't know how that is done at most of the clinics
- 16 | across the country. You don't know if that's the case. Right?
- 17 A. I know it's in the case of some places.
- 18 Q. Again, going back to the WPATH Standards of Care 8, would
- 19 you disagree, or would you agree, I'll ask, that they require a
- 20 comprehensive psychosocial assessment for minors who are seeking
- 21 | gender-affirming medical care?
- 22 A. Yes. That's exactly what I mean about the words versus the
- 23 behaviors and the credentials of those who can provide those
- 24 | comprehensive things. You see, in order to be a credentialed
- 25 | mental health professional in WPATH, you have to agree to

- 1 | WPATH's principles.
- 2 | Q. But, again, you don't know how most practitioners around
- 3 | the country, how credentialed they are and how they provide
- 4 care.
- 5 A. Who in the world knows?
- 6 Q. But you don't.
- 7 A. I don't and you don't.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, I want to switch gears and talk about some of
- 9 the European reports that you talked about. Let's start with
- 10 | Finland. You talked about a report from Finland. Do you
- 11 remember talking about that? Okay. And you mentioned that a
- 12 committee of, I believe you said, blue ribbon experts put
- 13 together these international reports. Which were the experts
- 14 who were the blue ribbon experts who put together the Finnish
- 15 report?
- 16 A. Their names?
- 17 Q. Anything about them.
- 18 A. I don't know their names.
- 19 Q. Do you know anything about them?
- 20 A. Well, I know the head of this national program. I know
- 21 her, but I don't know her colleagues.
- 22 Q. And do you know what organization put that report out?
- 23 A. Well, it's called COHERE. Please don't ask me what the
- 24 | COHERE stands for.
- Q. How many experts were on that blue ribbon committee that

- 1 | COHERE put together?
- 2 A. I don't know the specific number, but those committees
- 3 usually number six or more people.
- 4 Q. But you don't know about how many were on the Finnish --
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Could it have just been the one doctor?
- 7 A. No, no way.
- 8 Q. What do you know about their expertise in gender dysphoria
- 9 | treatment?
- 10 A. Well, I would presume, as I testified before, that what we
- 11 | call blue ribbon committees have some people on it who are
- 12 expert, but there's a limited number. And the majority of the
- 13 experts are people from various fields whose credentials have to
- 14 do with expertise in study evaluation.
- 15 | Q. You presume that. But do you know if that happened in the
- 16 | Finnish report?
- 17 A. No. But I've been on the Cochrane report, which is an
- 18 | Irish group, with a large number of people.
- 19 Q. Yeah. But I'm asking about the Finnish report.
- 20 A. As I already said, I don't know the names and the
- 21 | credentials of the specific people in that report. I have a
- 22 | trust that you don't seem to share that those kind of national
- 23 reports are done with highly credentialed people and never one
- 24 person.
- 25 Q. Except the Cass report you talked about. Right?

- 1 A. The Cass report, Cass is the senior author of a committee
- 2 of people.
- 3 Q. How many people are on that committee?
- 4 A. I don't offhand know. You could look and see at her
- 5 | report. It probably lists the people.
- 6 Q. Do you know anything about the expertise of the people on
- 7 | the Cass report committee you say exists?
- 8 A. I would assume, just as I answered previously. You are
- 9 aware of the Dallon report, which reviewed all the standards of
- 10 care that were in existence. They published a report in April
- 11 of '21, so they reviewed all the various standards of care for
- 12 transgender.
- 13 Q. Are they part of the Cass report?
- 14 A. Pardon me?
- 15 Q. I'm asking about the Cass report.
- 16 A. No. The Dallon report was the one that reiterated the
- 17 | standards of which -- upon which these things are judged. And
- 18 the concept of 30 percent comes from the Dallon report, and the
- 19 | concept that these standards of care are supposed to be reissued
- 20 every five years comes -- these are the standards of these
- 21 | high-level committees, you see.
- 22 Q. I think I understand where we may be talking at each other.
- 23 | Is it your understanding these European reports you mentioned
- 24 | are all clinical practice guidelines of the type that the Dallon
- 25 | report talks about, including the Cass report, that that's a

- 1 | clinical practice guideline?
- 2 A. Let me answer your question. It's my understanding that
- 3 this Finnish -- the Finnish, the Swedish and the UK report and
- 4 the McMasters report from McMasters University in Canada are all
- 5 | populated by people who have expertise in evaluating studies and
- 6 | that are limited. The numbers of people who are actually
- 7 | involved in the field are limited.
- 8 Q. But your understanding is based on the Dallon report
- 9 | recommending that that's how these things should be done -- I'm
- 10 | sorry -- the Dallon paper about how clinical practice guidelines
- 11 | should be created?
- 12 A. That's if you are looking for a reference that verifies
- 13 | what I'm saying, I refer you to the Dallon report.
- 14 Q. So did the Dallon report --
- 15 A. I think the national -- like the National Health Service's
- 16 | concern with how care is delivered throughout the United
- 17 Kingdom, so they have considerations that are far beyond what do
- 18 the people with Tavistock Clinic do. They are asking the
- 19 question what is the scientific basis for what the people at the
- 20 | Tavistock Clinic do, and they think -- that's what the Swedish
- 21 | report and the Finnish report, what is the scientific -- what is
- 22 | the evidence that supports what we're doing in our country to
- 23 | these young people?
- 24 Q. I understand that your questions about -- your testimony
- 25 | about what these reports talk about. My question is going to

```
1
    the basis of your statement that these three reports from
2
    Finland, Sweden and UK, and now you've mentioned the university
    report out of Canada, all were blue ribbon committees of
3
4
              The basis of your knowledge that these were experts on
5
    those committees, where is that coming from? Is it coming from
6
    the Dallon article recommending that that's a good practice in
7
    writing reports and you are assuming that they followed that
8
    practice?
9
```

A. It's coming from the history in medicine, not just in this, that when the treatment for an individual condition is reviewed by a major super organization like Medicare reviewing the surgical reports for transgenderism that the people who are reviewing 50 or a hundred reports have expertise. I don't know the names of the people. I don't even know whether they are from urology or gynecology or psychiatry. But those general superordinate structures in societies, sometimes in nations, like the Medicare reports here, they have what I call blue ribbon people on it, people who have credentials to objectively look at the state of science beyond the passion of practitioners.

Q. And just to be clear, though, you don't know anything about any of the specific people on these committees in any of these countries.

MR. CANTRELL: Your Honor, I think we've beat this to death.

Levine - Cross 938

1 THE COURT: Is that true, Doctor?

- 2 THE WITNESS: It is true.
- 3 BY MS. COOPER:
- 4 Q. Okay. Now, the French report, the French document that you
- 5 | talk about, that's not a review of the literature. Right?
- 6 A. I'm sorry. Which one?
- 7 | Q. The French application.
- 8 A. The French, I don't think -- I don't want to testify it's
- 9 based on a review.
- 10 Q. So you don't know if that was done by a blue ribbon
- 11 | committee of experts?
- 12 A. I don't know who did it, just there was a national body in
- 13 | France.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. That helped clarify that. I want to go
- 15 | back to some of these individual reports and just a few
- 16 questions. The Finnish report did not recommend banning
- 17 gender-affirming medical care for minors, did it?
- 18 A. I think in special cases they thought it could be
- 19 | continued.
- 20 Q. In fact, it allowed puberty blockers on a case-by-case
- 21 basis after careful consideration. Isn't that what it says?
- 22 A. I think so.
- 23 Q. And it says in the Finnish report that hormone therapy
- 24 | could be provided to minors based on a thorough case-by-case
- 25 consideration if it can be ascertained that the identity as the

- 1 other sex is of a permanent nature and causes severe dysphoria.
- 2 | Is that correct?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now --
- 5 A. Ms. Cooper, then how could a bunch of doctors know that a
- 6 | nine year-old's gender identity is permanent? It only could be
- 7 based on the fact it has existed for three years.
- 8 Q. Is anybody providing hormone therapy -- cross-sex hormone
- 9 | therapy to nine year-olds?
- 10 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 11 Q. Cross-sex hormone therapy?
- 12 A. No, no.
- 13 Q. That's what we're talking about.
- 14 A. Puberty blocking hormones.
- 15 Q. This was about cross-sex hormone therapy.
- 16 | A. Oh, I'm sorry.
- 17 Q. Yeah.
- 18 A. Even so, if somebody has consistent cross-gender
- 19 | identification from 12 to 16, there's no guarantee that that
- 20 person will be cross-gender identified at 25.
- 21 Q. But that's what the Finnish report said, what I described.
- 22 A. Right. You see the limitations inherent even in policy.
- 23 | Q. So, turning to the French report, just to be clear, they
- 24 | did not recommend prohibiting gender-affirming medical care for
- 25 | minors, did they?

- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. You don't know?
- 3 A. At this moment.
- 4 Q. Uh-huh. So you don't know if in France minors can receive
- 5 | gender-affirming medical care to treat gender dysphoria?
- 6 A. I think this was a general recommendation rather than a
- 7 | prohibition. And the recommendation was psychotherapy and
- 8 | psychiatric evaluation first.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. I think what we have in common here in all of these
- 11 | countries we're talking about is the recommendation, the prudent
- 12 recommendation that psychiatric evaluation and attention to
- 13 associate a psychopathology and worry about both detransition
- 14 and the rapid rise in the number of people calling themselves
- 15 transgender calls for a different approach, not the preclusion
- 16 of individual cases getting a particular treatment, but, in
- 17 | general, doctors of our country think psychotherapy first, not
- 18 hormones first, not transition first. That's what these things
- 19 have in common, whether I remember one phrase or another from
- 20 | the report.
- 21 Q. And your assumption is in the U.S. doctors are doing
- 22 | medical transition before psychotherapy?
- 23 A. Oh, yes.
- 24 Q. But you don't actually know how many doctors do that.
- 25 | Right?

- 1 A. Like you, I don't know the exact number.
- 2 Q. But you don't know?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And just to go back to the French report, is it correct
- 5 | that the report says that psychological support should be
- 6 provided, but in the event of persistent desire for transition,
- 7 | a careful decision about medical treatment with hormone blockers
- 8 or hormones of the opposite sex within the framework of
- 9 multidisciplinary consultation meetings is considered?
- 10 A. You need to ask what multidiscipline consultation means.
- 11 Q. I'm asking, is it your understanding that that's what the
- 12 | French document that you talked about earlier provides?
- 13 A. I presume you are accurately reading from the French
- 14 document. I have no reason to distrust you on that.
- 15 Q. Let's put it up so we don't have to wonder. Can you go to
- 16 the top so we can see the beginning of it? Is this the French
- 17 | document you were talking about from the National Academy of
- 18 | Medicine?
- 19 A. You translate very well.
- 20 Q. Thank you. If we look at the highlighted portion, if you
- 21 | will read with me -- actually, let's look above, where it says
- 22 | in bold, "The National Academy of Medicine draws the attention
- 23 of the medical community to the increasing demand for care in
- 24 | the context of gender trans-identity in children and adolescents
- 25 | and recommends: A psychological support as long as possible for

- 1 children and adolescents expressing a desire to transition and
- 2 | their parents." I'm not sure about the last. Oh, psychological
- 3 | support for their parents. I'm sorry. Let me read that again
- 4 | because I confused myself, just for the record. "A
- 5 | psychological support as long as possible for children and
- 6 adolescents expressing a desire to transition and their
- 7 parents." And, second, it says, "In the event of a persistent
- 8 desire for transition, a careful decision about medical
- 9 | treatment with hormone blockers or hormones of the opposite sex
- 10 | within the framework of multidisciplinary consultation
- 11 | meetings." That's what the French report says. Correct?
- 12 A. Yes. Do you want to leave that on for a second?
- 13 Q. Do you want it?
- 14 A. Yes. Because I want to talk about it.
- 15 Q. I'll leave it up. On redirect, counsel can ask you about
- 16 | it or put it up if he wants to.
- 17 I want to ask a few questions about the Swedish report that
- 18 | you talked about. Is it correct that the Swedish report
- 19 provides that puberty blockers and hormone therapy can be
- 20 provided to minors in research settings and in exceptional
- 21 | circumstances?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And these recommendations provide that criteria for
- 24 offering blockers and hormone therapy to treat minors with
- 25 | gender dysphoria should link more closely to those used in the

- 1 | Dutch protocol. Correct?
- 2 A. What was the first part of that question?
- 3 Q. These recommendations --
- 4 A. Yeah. I'm sorry. In certain cases they can do it like the
- 5 Dutch protocol did it. If that's what you mean, yeah.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. You see, these national bodies are making exceptions for
- 8 | special cases. That doesn't mean 60 percent of the cases. It
- 9 | doesn't mean 40 percent of the cases. It means a very small
- 10 percentage of the cases.
- 11 Q. And so the Swedish report follows the Dutch protocol used
- 12 | in the Dutch studies that you talked about earlier. Is that
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. Yes. That's the sequence, you know.
- 15 Q. So just a few questions on the Cass report that we touched
- 16 on. Again, like the other European reports you talked about,
- 17 | the Cass report did not recommend stopping endocrine treatment
- 18 | for minors with gender dysphoria, did it?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Endocrine treatment is provided to minors in the UK to
- 21 | treat gender dysphoria.
- 22 A. The Cass report also said what I've been saying all day,
- 23 | that we should think that the first line of treatment is
- 24 psychological assessment and thorough approach to the
- 25 | comorbidities. What it doesn't say is that nobody should ever

- 1 get these drugs.
- 2 | Q. And you mentioned a report from Canada. Hormone therapy
- 3 | and puberty blockers are not prohibited for minors in Canada,
- 4 | are they?
- 5 A. No. This was done for the State of Florida. It was not
- 6 done by the Canadian National Service. But what it did was
- 7 | reiterate the low quality of evidence for puberty blockers being
- 8 beneficial and for cross-gender hormones being beneficial or
- 9 them in sequence being beneficial. Based on international
- 10 | standards, blue ribbon people, sophisticated people, every
- 11 review says that the scientific objective review of the evidence
- 12 | supporting these treatments is of very low quality.
- 13 Q. I'm not asking about the quality of evidence. I'm asking
- 14 about the recommendations in the reports. That's what my
- 15 questions were focused on. And going back to the Canadian
- 16 report, do I understand from what you said earlier that that's a
- 17 report that was prepared to be used in litigation to support a
- 18 | ban on treatment in Florida?
- 19 A. I don't know exactly why it was done. What I was trying to
- 20 help you not make a mistake in thinking, that it was part of
- 21 | Canadian national policy. It was an academic center. That
- 22 | place does reviews, does all kind of reviews. And somebody I
- 23 | think from the State of Florida commissioned and paid for the
- 24 | review. And the review said, and it was objectively done, the
- 25 | same thing that the other reviews have said, low quality and

- 1 | very low quality evidence and meaning that the risk of harm,
- 2 | that the risk of harm exceeded the knowledge of the benefits.
- 3 Q. So just to be clear, in Canada there's not a prohibition on
- 4 | treatment for minors with gender dysphoria?
- 5 A. As far as I know, there's no prohibition in Canada.
- 6 Q. And none of these countries we talked about, in other
- 7 | words, Finland, Sweden, UK, Canada, France, none of them have
- 8 prohibited care like Arkansas has.
- 9 A. None of them have what?
- 10 Q. Have prohibited care like Arkansas has in its law. Let me
- 11 | say it again if that wasn't clear. None of the countries you've
- 12 talked about: Finland, Sweden, UK, Canada or France, has
- 13 prohibited gender-affirming medical care for minors the way
- 14 Arkansas has in the law at issue in this case.
- 15 A. All those countries have used the medical profession and
- 16 the agents of the medical profession, the institutions within
- 17 | the medical profession, to review the scientific evidence and
- 18 then to form policies based on that evidence. They have not
- 19 passed as far as I know laws prohibiting and punishing doctors.
- 20 Q. And those policies that they have passed do not recommend
- 21 | banning care the way Arkansas does. Correct?
- 22 A. They said being prudent with special cases. As I pointed
- 23 | out to you, my interpretation of special cases doesn't mean
- 24 | 50 percent or 60 percent or 40 percent. It's a small number of
- 25

cases.

- 1 Q. And all of those five countries you believe have said that,
- 2 | just special cases?
- 3 A. For all the countries except, you know, Sweden said with
- 4 the exception of I think rare special cases, all of those cases
- 5 | that are going to get treatment have to get treated within a
- 6 protocol.
- 7 | Q. France didn't limit it to special cases, did it?
- 8 A. Well, when I asked you to put that up, I was going to point
- 9 out the vagueness of those words and what they had to translate,
- 10 | those words.
- 11 Q. Well, we can put it back up because I want to go back to
- 12 | that passage that said "in the event of a persistent desire for
- 13 | transition, a careful decision about medical treatment with
- 14 hormone blockers or hormones of the opposite sex within the
- 15 | framework of multidisciplinary consultation meetings," that's
- 16 | what they described. Correct?
- 17 A. So the question is -- one of the questions about this
- 18 | highlighted, these two sentences, is what does it mean, the
- 19 | framework of multidisciplinary consultation meetings? Does it
- 20 | mean with the nurse practitioner and the social worker and the
- 21 | psychiatrist and the psychologist? Does it mean with the
- 22 | endocrinologist? Does it mean with the surgeon, you see? Does
- 23 | it mean with a committee of trans people themselves? What does
- 24 | it mean? WPATH always talks about that as well, you see. What
- 25 | I'm talking about, and I think what all of these national bodies

are talking about, is the recognition that there's something amiss psychologically and developmentally in a large percentage of trans-identified children and adults and that the right professional needs to deal with it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when we talk about multidiscipline, what we're really talking about, does the endocrinologist agree? Does the surgeon agree? They are not talking about the psychologist and the nurse practitioner. They are just taking one of those mental health professionals. And you know how systems work where M.D.s get, you know, they tend to be looked at as more expert than lesser trained people. So that's one of the vagueness about these two sentences that you've highlighted.

And you brought France up as an example of a better course, Q. a better approach, I understood. Is that not your view anymore? I bring it up because even France is saying a psychological assessment should no longer be downgraded. Mental health professionals, the implication to me is that mental health professionals should not be viewed disparagingly as mere gatekeepers, you see, that people need to be considered. Their psychological state needs to be considered by people who have the capacity to take a developmental history, to know what it means and to try to arrange a conversation for a therapy or medication and combinations of the above that might ameliorate some of the suffering that is in within the soul, within the person, within the personality of the patient or the family.

- 1 | That's all. I don't know what you are trying to get me to say
- 2 here.
- 3 Q. I'm confused because you are saying that care in the United
- 4 | States is leaving out the psychological evaluation and
- 5 | treatment. But I think you agreed that the WPATH Standards of
- 6 | Care 8 specifically requires a comprehensive psychosocial
- 7 | evaluation before you would consider hormone treatment for
- 8 minors. Is it just your assumption nobody is following the
- 9 | standards?
- 10 A. Ms. Cooper, these are words. Comprehensive psychiatric
- 11 | evaluation. I mean, I work in a multidisciplinary multi-health
- 12 | clinic. And I can tell you that the various levels of education
- 13 | that passes for mental health professionals are quite different.
- 14 The concepts that people have in mental health are quite
- 15 different.
- 16 Q. Is that different in Europe than in the U.S.?
- 17 A. Oh, absolutely.
- 18 Q. So they are all qualified there, but here you are assuming
- 19 most are not, to provide the care?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. I'm just trying to understand the distinction.
- 22 A. I'm not saying that at all. You and I are crossing each
- 23 other at a very high altitude.
- 24 Q. I understand your criticism of care here is that
- 25 | practitioners are downgrading mental health evaluation and not

- 1 | taking that into consideration in comorbidities, but in Europe
- 2 | they are focusing on that. But when I pointed out that the
- 3 | standards of care here actually require that, you said, well,
- 4 | they don't really follow the standards.
- 5 A. Ms. Cooper, what I was trying to say to you, you can read
- 6 | these wonderful words in the standards of care, but it turns out
- 7 | the devil is in the details. The devil is how it's translated,
- 8 who is doing the psychiatric assessments, what mindset do they
- 9 have, what knowledge do they have, and how long do they have to
- 10 do it. Every clinic can say we do comprehensive care. Every
- 11 | clinic will say we do these evaluations. But from the parents'
- 12 point of view or the educated parents' point of view, that is
- 13 | not what is happening to their child frequently.
- 14 Q. For some families you've talked to.
- 15 A. Frequently.
- 16 Q. For some families you've talked to. Correct?
- 17 | A. I'm sorry?
- 18 Q. For some families you've talked to.
- 19 A. Almost for all the families I've talked to.
- 20 Q. Which is a tiny amount, representing a very tiny amount of
- 21 | the clinics around the country. Correct?
- 22 A. And I don't know what percentage of the clinics, but they
- 23 | are from many states.
- 24 Q. I just have a few more questions. I want to go back to the
- 25 | second study on detransition that you mentioned showing the

- 1 | 30 percent detransition rate.
- 2 A. The Boyd study?
- 3 Q. That was the one by Boyd. Thank you. We're going to put
- 4 | that up so we can get clarity on that. Looking at a study
- 5 | called "Care of Transgender Patients: A General Practice
- 6 | Quality Improvement Approach" by Isabel Boyd, et al., that's the
- 7 | study you are talking about?
- 8 A. That was I think a primary care study.
- 9 Q. Okay. You have the highlighted portion in front of you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. "3.2.4. Undesired Treatment Outcomes (stopping hormones,
- 12 | abnormal blood test results, side effects and complications)."
- 13 It says here, "Nine patients had stopped hormone therapy, one
- 14 related to practice policy because they had not attended any GIC
- 15 | follow-up (the patient has restarted since the audit). Thus,
- 16 eight patients had stopped hormones voluntarily (20 percent
- 17 | stopping rate; six trans men, two trans women). These patients
- 18 | had been on treatment for a mean of five years (range 17 months
- 19 to 10 years). Four trans men had comments in the record that
- 20 | related to a change in gender identity or detransitioning (4 out
- 21 of 41, 9.8 percent) quote: Would like to gradually
- 22 detransition. No longer wish to live your life as a male. Has
- 23 | decided to detransition. Feels comfortable having decided to
- 24 dress and appear more feminine. Feels it was a mistake
- 25 | identifying as non-binary now, close quotes.

None of these patients had undergone any gender-related surgery. They had presented at a mean of 18 years of age, taken testosterone for a mean of 18 months and currently presented as female (three) or non-binary (one). The other four patients who had stopped hormones continued to present as trans (two women, two men): One, who had experienced orchidectomy, had a record of regret (no hormonal treatment currently, regrets gender reassignment); one had a medical reason noted for stopping, quote, problems with PV bleeding despite androgen; two had no specific reason for stopping in their record, but it was documented that they had stopped."

So I see a 20 percent stopping rate, but I don't see a 30 percent detransition rate anywhere in there. Is this the study you are talking about?

A. Yes. But, you know, I haven't read this study since it came out. And you've picked out one paragraph. And perhaps we could sit down and read it together and figure out whether I'm right or wrong. And if I'm wrong, I'm wrong by 10 percent. The point is, Ms. Cooper, the detransition for various reasons happens. If it happens in this clinic, we should assume it happens in Arkansas and in Missouri and everywhere else. And the idea that people think it's a mistake is one of the things I've tried to talk about this morning. We can't be sure that a 14 or 15 or 17 year-old knows what his or her future is going to be. And if they cannot be prudent, we have to be prudent. And

```
1 if the medical profession isn't prudent about this, isn't
2 careful, isn't aware of the limitations, I guess legislatures
3 make a decision.
```

So I'm just asking the medical profession to be prudent and to know about the evolution of gender identity. Even after it's been solid during adolescence for three years or four years, it doesn't mean that when you are 23 you don't think differently. We must be prudent, and we must protect people sometimes against things that we have reason to believe that a majority of people may come to regret. Now, you see the real question here is not the Boyd study.

- Q. Well, I do want to stay on the Boyd study for a minute. I understand your point that detransition happens. And that is not the question I want to -- I'm not arguing about that.
- 15 A. Good.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 16 Q. I'm asking about this 30 percent number that you testified.
- 17 I understand now you may be not standing by that 30 percent
- 18 figure?
- 19 A. Yeah. Maybe I'm going back to 20 percent.
- Q. Then, even the 20 percent you would agree is not a representation of detransition, but it represents the number of people who stop medical transition for various reasons, some
- 23 medical reasons and unknown reasons. Correct?
- A. I don't think you are going to make compelling points in my
  view by picking out one paragraph and not looking at the whole

- 1 thing.
- 2 Q. You used the number 30 percent, and this appears to be
- 3 where it came from, so I need to pick it apart.
- 4 A. I do not represent myself as infallible. And my
- 5 | statements, I can't imagine every statement is verifiable that I
- 6 | ever make in my life. I'm doing the best I can with my memory.
- 7 Q. Fair enough. It's not a memory test. Looking at it here
- 8 now, though, you would agree that this study does not even say
- 9 20 percent detransitioned. It says 20 percent stopped the
- 10 | medical transition for various reasons.
- 11 A. Well, can you tell what the denominator here is?
- 12 Q. Well, 20 percent. Twenty is a percentage.
- 13 A. That requires a denominator. What number of people are we
- 14 | talking about?
- THE COURT: Twenty out of a hundred.
- 16 THE WITNESS: That's not --
- 17 BY MS. COOPER:
- 18 Q. 41 is the N. If you want to know the number, it's 41 I
- 19 think it says. Four out of 41 were the ones who --
- 20 A. Is 41 the denominator?
- 21 Q. 4 out of 41 detransitioned.
- 22 A. So nine of 41 people stopped their hormones. Is that what
- you are saying? So we do that math. 9 of 41.
- 24 Q. Twenty percent stopped.
- 25 A. It's over 20 percent.

- 1 Q. Stopped hormones?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. I just want to be clear, though, that doesn't represent
- 4 detransition. It represents stopping hormones for a variety of
- 5 different reasons.
- 6 A. And I want to be clear. I want to be clear that you don't
- 7 know it doesn't represent detransition. It means stopping
- 8 hormones. Why does a person stop hormones?
- 9 Q. Well, it says right here problems with bleeding despite
- 10 androgen, and two had no specific reasons. You don't understand
- 11 | people stopping hormones besides detransition?
- 12 A. What are you quizzically asking?
- 13 Q. Do you think the only reason somebody who is on hormone
- 14 | therapy for gender dysphoria would stop treatment, that the only
- 15 reason would be because they detransitioned?
- 16 A. No. Some stop because they get hypertension. Some stop
- 17 because they get obese. Some stop because they get blood clots.
- Some stop because their hemoglobin levels go way up and they are
- 19 threatened with stroke.
- 20 Q. But they may still maintain their trans identity. Correct?
- 21 A. And, of course, if that would happen to a person, that
- 22 | would make them rethink everything.
- Q. I think we can put this study aside, and just a couple of
- 24 questions. Prior to this case, you had never heard of Mark
- 25 | Regnerus. Correct?

- 1 A. Mark who?
- 2 Q. Regnerus.
- 3 A. Regnerus?
- 4 Q. R-e-g-n-e-r-u-s. It sounds like you still may not know who
- 5 he is. Is that correct?
- 6 A. I don't remember who he is.
- 7 Q. And prior to this case, you didn't know who Patrick Lappert
- 8 is. Correct?
- 9 A. That name is more familiar, but I can't, yes.
- 10 Q. You don't know who he is?
- 11 A. I don't remember.
- MS. COOPER: Just a moment, please.
- 13 Pass the witness.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. CANTRELL:
- 16 Q. Dr. Levine, do you still have the binder in front of you?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. If you would, turn to tab 9. This should be the French
- 19 National Academy of Medicine document that Ms. Cooper was asking
- 20 you about earlier.
- 21 A. Yes. It's in front of me.
- 22 Q. And I want to direct your attention, if you can see here,
- 23 to the third paragraph.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. If you will read with me, it says, "Whatever the mechanisms

```
1
     involved in the adolescent, overuse of social networks, greater
 2
     social acceptability, or example in the entourage, this
 3
     epidemic-like phenomenon results in the appearance of cases or
 4
     even clusters in the immediate surroundings. This primarily
 5
     social problem is based, in part, on a questioning of an
 6
     excessively dichotomous vision of gender identity by some young
 7
     people." Did I read that correctly?
 8
     Α.
          You, too, read very well.
9
     Q.
          Thank you. And moving down --
10
               THE COURT: What's the question other than what you
11
     read to him, Mr. Cantrell? Did you read it correctly is not
12
     really a question of this witness.
13
               MR. CANTRELL: Well, Your Honor --
14
               THE COURT: I'm not going to let you read to him and
15
     then ask him if you read that correctly like on
16
     cross-examination. What is your question of this witness?
17
               MR. CANTRELL: Well, Your Honor, Ms. Cooper read
18
     several passages.
19
               THE COURT: Right. But you don't just get to read to
20
     me and ask him if you read that correctly. That's not a
21
     question and is probably the definition of a leading question,
```

which I'm not going to go into now. But I'm not going to have

you just read parts of this to me and ask him "did I read that

correctly?" What's your question to this witness about this

22

23

24

25

material?

## BY MR. CANTRELL:

- 2 | Q. Okay. Dr. Levine, here's my question. Dr. Levine, Ms.
- 3 | Cooper's line of questioning would give the impression that the
- 4 French National Academy of Medicine was not quite as concerned
- 5 as you had previously testified was the case. Do you have
- 6 | anything in response to say?
- 7 A. Well, that paragraph, I think, telling you that the French
- 8 | National Academy is concerned about the social origins of
- 9 this -- and they went on a limb and said what they thought some
- 10 of the social origins and social forces actually were. Given
- 11 | the fact that the National Academy of Medicine of France thinks
- 12 that this is socially constructive for many of these children,
- 13 | for the majority of these children, that they go on to urge
- 14 | caution, they are also saying there may be some cases that
- deserve or justify the use of hormones, but the social forces
- 16 that are shaping this in epidemic proportion makes people, makes
- 17 | the doctors of France, should make the doctors of France prudent
- 18 and careful. That's all. That's what I understand that
- 19 paragraph to mean.
- 20 Q. Dr. Levine, is that further reflected when you look down to
- 21 | the next-to-last paragraph on this page, where it's referring to
- 22 | "a great medical caution must be taken in children and
- 23 | adolescents, given the vulnerability, particularly
- 24 psychological, of this population and the many undesirable
- 25 | effects, and even serious complications, that some of the

- 1 | available therapies can cause"?
- 2 A. Yes. In answer to the previous question of Ms. Cooper, I
- 3 listed some of the serious medical complications. Those are
- 4 just the psychological complications. So I use the word
- 5 | "prudent." The French translate their word for caution.
- 6 Prudent and caution to me are synonyms, you know. It also says
- 7 | in that paragraph that Sweden has banned the use of hormone
- 8 | blockers, which was different than what I was led to believe by
- 9 Ms. Cooper.
- 10 Q. Ms. Cooper also asked questions concerning, I believe, the
- 11 possibility of clinical trials taking place in Arkansas. Are
- 12 | you familiar with any clinical trials that are taking place in
- 13 Arkansas or planned to take place in Arkansas?
- 14 A. I'm not familiar, nor have I heard of that. A clinical
- 15 | trial, that has to be prospective. You know, to begin with
- 16 certain patients, put them in different groups and then follow
- 17 | them for a number of designated years with predetermined outcome
- 18 | measures and definitions of primary and secondary outcomes that
- 19 | we're going to focus on when we evaluate the people and follow
- 20 up is a very expensive thing. It requires usually federal
- 21 | funding or some big funding source like the Gates Foundation
- 22 | that has billions of dollars. It's a lifelong project really
- 23 | for the original investigators because you are going to have to
- 24 | study people for ten years or more. Those are tough studies to
- 25 do. They are very expensive, but they are vital studies to do.

- 1 MR. CANTRELL: If I can have a moment, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: You may.
- 3 MR. CANTRELL: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 4 MS. COOPER: Your Honor, if I may do one question on
- 5 | recross. If we can put up the French National Academy document
- 6 again.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 8 BY MS. COOPER:
- 9 Q. I believe, Dr. Levine, you testified just now on redirect
- 10 that Sweden bans or that France in this National Academy of
- 11 | Medicine document said that Sweden bans puberty blockers. But I
- 12 | want you to read with me here the bottom full paragraph
- 13 | highlighted -- thank you -- starting with "In this respect, it
- 14 | is important to recall the recent decision (May 2021) of the
- 15 Karolinska University Hospital in Stockholm to ban the use of
- 16 | hormone blockers." Is that what you were referring to?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So that's one hospital, right, not a Swedish national
- 19 | policy, just to clarify.
- 20 A. That's the -- the Karolinska Institute is the premiere
- 21 hospital.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. The world-renowned hospital. And there must be a
- 24 | relationship between the review board and this clinic because
- 25 | they wanted all the research to be done at the Karolinska.

- 1 Q. I understand you are speculating about the connection
- 2 | there. But the national review board of Sweden did not ban
- 3 blockers. Correct? We talked about that before.
- 4 A. If Karolinska blocked blockers and if Karolinska is the
- 5 | primary site for the Swedish people to get gender-affirming
- 6 | care --
- 7 Q. Is it your understanding that's the only place you could
- 8 get gender-affirming medical care if you are a minor in Sweden?
- 9 A. I'm not sure. Sweden is a small country compared to the
- 10 United States. Those countries tend to create clinics like the
- 11 | Portman Clinic in the UK that funnel these patients to the
- 12 centers of excellence, the centers of study.
- 13 Q. But you don't know how it's done in Sweden?
- 14 A. I don't know for sure how it's done in Sweden. I do know
- 15 | Sweden, we're very concerned about the suicide rates of their
- 16 transgender population. The last report I had, it was
- 17 | 3.5 percent higher than the general population.
- 18 Q. I lied. I have a second question, if that's okay, related
- 19 to the same topic. I would like to put up another document, the
- 20 | Swedish national report that we have discussed. This is, for
- 21 | reference, DX17. This is the Swedish report you were discussing
- 22 | earlier. Correct?
- 23 A. The translation of it?
- 24 Q. The English translation. If we can scroll, if you can look
- 25 | at the first highlighted paragraph with me. "To minimize the

risk that a young person with gender incongruence later will regret a gender-affirming treatment, the NBHW deems that the criteria for offering GnRH analog and gender-affirming hormones should link more closely to those used in the Dutch protocol, where the duration of gender incongruence over time is emphasized. Accordingly, an early childhood onset of gender incongruence, persistence of gender incongruence until puberty and a marked psychological strain in response to a pubertal development is among the recommended criteria."

I'm sorry. I'm reading the wrong paragraph.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to read the highlighted second Apologies. "To ensure that new knowledge is gathered, the NBHW further deems that treatment with GnRH analogs and sex hormones for young people should be provided within a research context, which does not necessarily imply the use of randomized controlled trials, RCTs. As in other healthcare areas where it is difficult to conduct RCTs while retaining sufficient internal validity, it is also important that other prospective study designs are considered for ethical review and that register studies are made possible. Until a research study is in place, the NBHW deems that treatment with GnRH analogs and sex hormones may be given in exceptional cases, in accordance with the updated recommendations and criteria described in the guidelines." This is the requirement or provision in the Swedish national report. Correct?

1 Α. Correct. Okay. Thank you. 2 Q. Nothing else. 3 MS. TEMPLIN: Judge, if you are ready to proceed to 4 the next witness, we would call Dr. Patrick Lappert. 5 THE COURT: Are we going to be able to finish that 6 witness before five o'clock? 7 MS. TEMPLIN: I could try and get through at least a good chunk of mine. I don't know about cross. 8 9 THE COURT: We're starting at eight o'clock on another 10 witness, right, Mr. Jacobs? 11 MR. JACOBS: That is the plan, Your Honor. Can we 12 have a moment to chat about the schedule with Dr. Lappert? 13 THE COURT: Sure. 14 (Brief recess.) 15 MR. JACOBS: So given how late in the day we are, I 16 don't think there's any way we could finish with Dr. Lappert 17 today without going forever. So I think what we would propose 18 is to go ahead and adjourn for today, start with Regnerus 19 tomorrow in the a.m. I think, if we start close to 8 a.m., it's 20 very likely we could be done by like one or whenever the Court 21 wants to break for lunch. That may be ambitious, but I think 22 it's pretty close. THE COURT: With Zoom? 23 24 MR. JACOBS: Yeah, with the Teams meeting and be done 25 with that. Then Dr. Lappert will go after Dr. Regnerus

```
1
     tomorrow. So he's willing to change his travel.
 2
               THE COURT: How long do you anticipate him going?
 3
               MR. JACOBS:
                            Dr. Lappert?
 4
               THE COURT: Yes. Witness two tomorrow after the Zoom,
 5
     because we're talking about going until one, taking a lunch
 6
     break, then we're at two.
 7
               MS. TEMPLIN: Your Honor, it's not going to take me
 8
     three hours with Dr. Lappert. I'm not sure how long plaintiffs
9
     have planned on cross. I certainly from my end can anticipate
10
     being done by five.
11
               MR. JACOBS:
                            If I had three hours with Dr. Regnerus, I
12
     would be very surprised if I had that long with him. When I say
13
     done by one, now that I'm thinking about it, I could probably
14
     push that down to noon realistically maybe.
15
               THE COURT: My point is, if y'all are done with this
16
     witness at five tomorrow, then we're going into the following
17
     day with him also. So checking with his availability tomorrow
18
     does no good.
19
               MS. TEMPLIN:
                             No, Your Honor. I anticipate both sides
20
     could possibly be done by five depending on the length of cross.
21
     I certainly do not plan to spend three hours on direct
22
     examination. From two, it would not take me until five to do
23
     direct examination.
24
               THE COURT: I misheard you. I thought you said you
25
     would be done by five.
```

1 MS. TEMPLIN: Apologies. 2 MR. JACOBS: That's what we would suggest. 3 Lappert is willing to change around his travel to make that 4 I think we went a little bit longer with Dr. Levine than 5 we anticipated. We hoped to be able to do both today. So long 6 as the Court has tomorrow afternoon available, so long as 7 there's not any objections on that end, I think we could switch 8 those and get everything done. 9 THE COURT: The only thing I have in my week that's in 10 y'all's way is the one o'clock 30 minute and the two o'clock 30 11 minute on Wednesday, then the noon hour on Thursday. 12 MR. JACOBS: Neither of those will be problems on our 13 end, Your Honor. If that's acceptable to everybody, I think we 14 will proceed with Dr. Regnerus tomorrow when we get everything 15 set and then proceed with Dr. Lappert. 16 THE COURT: If we get both of them done tomorrow, how 17 late do you anticipate going on Thursday? 18 MR. JACOBS: On Thursday, Your Honor, it could be a 19 full day on Thursday. I just don't know whether it's going to 20 be sort of an early day out on Thursday or not at this point. 21 THE COURT: I'm just curious. 22 MR. JACOBS: Regardless of this, we'll still be 23 totally done on Thursday with our witnesses. That won't impact 24 this at all.

THE COURT: All right. Court is in recess until

```
eight o'clock tomorrow.
 1
 2
                  MR. JACOBS: Yes, Your Honor.
            (Overnight recess at 4:19 p.m.)
 3
                                REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
 4
      I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 5
 6
 7
      <u>/s/Elaine Hinson, RMR, CRR, CCR</u>
                                                  Date: December 4, 2022.
      United States Court Reporter
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```